NEW MEXICO LAW ENFORCEMENT STANDARDS AND TRAINING COUNCIL

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

DATE: Wednesday, September 29th, 2026*

TIME: 9:00 AM

* If needed, the meeting will recess at 5:00 p.m. and continue the following day at 9:00 a.m. on September 30, 2026.

LOCATION: New Mexico Law Enforcement Academy
4491 Cerrillos Rd. Santa Fe, NM 87507

Zoom Link:

https://us06web.zoom.us/j/88278689334?pwd=mBJE4Ti3IGyfxEiuhkDMUTWDHaMfBs.1

Meeting ID: 882 7868 9334

Passcode: 061704

DRAFT

AGENDA

I. CALL TO ORDER

A. Roll Call

- Day One – September 29, 2026
    - Chair Richard Mathew called the meeting to order at 9:03am Operations Manager Marshall Dean took roll call:

      Director Fabian Valdez – Present

      Lt. Domenic Thornock – Present

      Cmdr. Juan Cabrera – Present

      Director Anthony Maez – Present

      Lt. Tate McBride – Present

      Sgt. Carlos Martinez – Present

Director Dennis Kelly – Present

Director Gilbert Najar – Absent

D.A Sam Bregman – Present via Zoom

Teresa Beaty – Present

Guadalupe Tarango – Absent

Nicole Brown – Present

Richard Mathews – Present

Joana Wells – Present

Sheriff Dennis Garcia – Present

Chief Greg Concho – Absent

Dr. Sara Millspaugh Absent

- Day Two – September 30, 2026
    - Chair Richard Mathews called the meeting to order at 9:04 am. Executive Assistant Sheyenne Boling took roll call.

Director Fabian Valdez – Present

Lt. Domenic Thornock – Present

Cmdr. Juan Cabrera – Present

Director Anthony Maez – Present

Lt. Tate McBride – Present

Sgt. Carlos Martinez – Present

Director Dennis Kelly – Present

Director Gilbert Najar – Present via Zoom

D.A Sam Bregman – Present via Zoom

Teresa Beaty – Present

Guadalupe Tarango – Absent

Nicole Brown – Present

Richard Mathews – Present

Joana Wells – Present

Sheriff Dennis Garcia – Present

DRAFT

Chief Greg Concho – Present via Zoom

Dr. Sara Millspaugh Absent

B. Approval of Agenda

- A motion to approve the agenda was made by Director Anthony Maez and seconded by Nicole Brown. 14 votes for and none against.

C. Approval of Meeting Minutes from the June 10, 2026 meeting

- A motion was made by Lt. Tate McBride to approve the meeting minutes from June 10, 2026. Lt. John Barricklow seconded. 14 votes in favor and none against.

II. INTRODUCTIONS

1. Introduction of new Council Members.

a. Law Enforcement Academy Director Fabian Valdez

b. APD Academy Director Juan Cabrera

c. NMSP Acting Academy Director Dominic Thornock

d. San Juan County Criminal Justice Training Authority Director Carlos Martinez

III. NEW BUSINESS

A. Deliberation, Consideration, and Possible Adoption of Proposed Rules in Title 10, Chapter 28 NMAC.

- 10.28.1 GENERAL PROVISIONS
  - Day One – September 29, 2026
    - The Council reviewed its statutory authority under 29 7 3 and 29 7 4 and reaffirmed that the Council—not DPS—is responsible for developing and adopting statewide training standards and rules. Members discussed longstanding statutory conflicts in which the LEA Director is legally required to implement Council standards while simultaneously serving under the supervision of the DPS Secretary. The Council reiterated that administrative attachment to DPS does not diminish the Council's independent authority and noted that inconsistent rule interpretation has caused operational delays for agencies. These issues underscored the need for a formal Rule Clarification Process to ensure consistent, timely application of the NMAC across all academies and agencies.
  - Day Two – September 30, 2026
    - Because the Day One recording had failed, Chair Richard Mathews restated the full discussion of this section to ensure the record was

DRAFT

accurate and complete. Mathews revisited DPS Legal's written claim that the Council had not met rule-posting requirements, explaining that DPS had relied on a statute governing DPS divisions rather than the Council, and that the Council's notice had been properly posted to the Sunshine Portal after consultation with NMDOJ, the State Records Center, and DoIT. He then walked the Council through the statutory architecture, emphasizing that the Council is an independent rulemaking body and that DPS provides administrative support only.

- During the discussion, Director Fabian Valdez addressed the operational impact of statutory conflicts on the LEA, explaining that as LEA Director he was effectively "reporting to two masters" the DPS Secretary as his administrative supervisor, and the Council as the authority whose rules and standards he is legally required to implement. Valdez stated that this dual-reporting model causes confusion for staff and undermines operational clarity. Other members present Lt. Domenic Thornock, Commander Juan Cabrera, Lt. John Barricklow, Director Anthony Maez, Lt. Tate McBride, Sgt. Carlos Martinez, Director Dennis Kelly, Director Gilbert Najar, Teresa Beaty, Nicole Brown, Joanna Wells, Sheriff Dennis Garcia, and Chief Greg Concho participated as needed. Brown, Kelly, and Wells asked clarifying questions about rule interpretation, while Barricklow and Cabrera described instances where inconsistent interpretations affected academy operations. Concho expressed support for Mathews's explanation of statutory authority.

- A major discussion focused on the proposed Rule Clarification Process, designed to ensure consistent interpretations between Council meetings. Chair Richard Mathews explained that the process required a small preliminary group to issue interim clarifications for time-sensitive questions. After discussion, the Council confirmed that this group would consist of three members: the Chair (Richard Mathews), the Vice-Chair (Lt. Tate McBride), and the LEA Director (Fabian Valdez). Mathews clarified that this group would not make final determinations; all preliminary clarifications must be placed on the next Council agenda for formal approval. Members agreed that this structure would promote transparency, improve response time for academies, and ensure statewide consistency.

  - ❖ Chair Richard Mathews made a formal motion "to adopt the proposed Rule 10.28.1 General Provisions as amended through discussion by the Council yesterday and today as

DRAFT

amended." The motion was seconded by Lt. Tate McBride. During the vote, Lt. Domenic Thornock and Director Fabian Valdez voted NO, while every other member (14) present voted YES. The motion passed, and Rule 10.28.1 was adopted as amended.

- 10.28.2 DEFINITIONS
  - Day One – September 29, 2026
    - The Council updated several key definitions to align rule language with modern practice and statutory structure. The definition of "Academy" was expanded to include basic, in-service, advanced, and certification-by-waiver programs, and a clear definition of "Regional Satellite Academy" was added. "Public Safety Agency" was updated to include governmental and EMS entities, and several additional terms such as "standardized statewide curriculum," "working group," "sponsor," and "law enforcement officer" were defined to support consistency across the rule set. These updates ensure clarity when applying standards to different training organizations.
  - Day Two – September 30, 2026
    - Chair Richard Mathew's reopened this section to ensure the Day One discussion was fully restored to the public record. Although no additional changes were proposed, Mathew's explained that the definitions section is the foundation for the entire set of rules, setting the legal boundaries for all subsequent provisions. Members including Joanna Wells, Nicole Brown, and Director Fabian Valdez asked clarifying questions about how the updated definitions would shape operational responsibilities at both the central Academy and Regional Satellite Academies. Mathew's clarified that while the LEA may develop internal procedures, the definitions adopted by the Council determine the scope and application of all training standards statewide. He concluded that definitions must remain consistent with statute and may only be altered when required by legislative or regulatory changes.
      - ❖ Chair Richard Mathews made a formal motion "to adopt the proposed Rule 10.28.2 Definitions as amended through discussion by the Council yesterday and today." The motion was seconded by Lt. Tate McBride. During the vote, all 16 members present voted YES. The motion passed, and Rule 10.28.2 was adopted as amended.

- 10.28.3 FACILITIES

DRAFT

- Day One – September 29, 2026
    - The Council clarified the provisions governing LEA facilities in accordance with 29-7-12, ensuring that any fees charged for lodging, classrooms, ranges, driving tracks, equipment, or personnel services reflect actual cost only. The rule also confirmed exemptions for local agencies and tribal entities for basic training. New cancellation timelines were introduced for both LEA and external users. The Council concluded that a comprehensive fee-study would be necessary and scheduled it for December to ensure future tuition schedules are equitable, non-preferential, and cost-grounded.
- Day Two – September 30, 2026
    - Chair Richard Mathews stated that no rule language changes had been proposed for this section but expanded on why the Council must maintain strict statutory alignment with cost-recovery requirements. He explained that several public comments from smaller agencies expressed concern about unclear facility charges and scheduling disparities. Members including Director Fabian Valdez, Director Dennis Kelly, and Lt. John Barricklow shared operational experience regarding facility limitations, training capacity issues, and the impacts of inconsistent cancellation practices. Mathews emphasized that the December cost study would inform any future amendments and clarified that LEA must balance financial recovery with equitable access for rural and tribal agencies. He concluded that while the rule itself was stable, the Council must revisit facility cost frameworks to support statewide training expansion.
        - ❖ Chair Richard Mathews made a formal motion "to adopt the proposed Rule 10.28.3 Facilities, as amended through discussion by the Council yesterday and today." The motion was seconded by Lt. Tate McBride. A roll-call vote was taken, and all 16 members present voted YES. The motion passed, and Rule 10.28.3 was adopted as amended.

- 10.28.4 REGIONAL SATELLITE ACADEMIES
    - Day One – September 29, 2026
        - The Council affirmed that Title 10.28 rules apply to all accredited basic training conducted by Regional Satellite Academies as well as the Public Safety Telecommunicator Academy. Because Criminal Justice Certificate Programs fall under higher-education governance, they were removed from the regulatory scope except for portions that directly relate to Council-approved basic training curriculum. The Council clarified that it retains rulemaking authority regardless of where basic training is delivered.

DRAFT

- Day Two – September 30, 2026
    - Chair Richard Mathews expanded the record by reviewing how Regional Satellite Academies interface with the LEA and the Council. Public comment and internal questions raised concerns about uneven application of rules across satellite academies. Commander Juan Cabrera, Joanna Wells, and Lt. John Barricklow shared practical examples of inconsistencies in packet review processes, instructor documentation, and course implementation. Mathews emphasized that all satellite academies are bound to the same statewide standards and that the new Rule Clarification Process will provide a clear, consistent mechanism for answering interpretive questions. When discussion turned to whether applicants denied admission at a satellite academy could appeal the decision, Mathews explained that because packet review is performed by LEA staff, any denial issued through that process falls under the Council's oversight. He reaffirmed that while satellite directors manage operational components of instruction, the Council retains final authority over standards and eligibility statewide.
        - ❖ Chair Richard Mathews made a formal motion "to adopt the proposed Rule 10.28.4 Regional Satellite Academies, as amended through discussion by the Council yesterday and today." The motion was seconded by Lt. Tate McBride. A roll-call vote was taken, and all 16 members present voted YES. The motion passed, and Rule 10.28.4 was adopted as amended.

- 10.28.5 QUALIFICATIONS FOR ADMISSION TO THE ACADEMY
    - Day One – September 29, 2026
        - The Council finalized that complete application packets must be submitted at least two weeks before the academy begins, rejecting a proposed 30-day requirement. Required components include the roster, physical fitness assessment, psychological evaluation, medical screening, and supporting documents. While packet completeness is verified by LEA, the Council reaffirmed that ultimate certification decisions belong to the Certification Board and not the LEA Director, to prevent conflicts where character or statutory qualifications are at issue.
    - Day Two – September 30, 2026
        - Chair Richard Mathews reopened this section to restate the full Day One discussion and address extensive public comments. The conversation centered on whether applicants denied admission except for medical or psychological failure should have the ability to request review by the Council. Joanna Wells, Director

DRAFT

Dennis Kelly, Nicole Brown, Commander Juan Cabrera, and Director Fabian Valdez actively engaged, raising concerns about fairness, agency discretion, and the distinction between hiring and academy admission. Mathews clarified that hiring decisions rest solely with agencies, but admission decisions fall under Council rules because the Council governs the standards that define eligibility. After reviewing statutory language and practical implications, Mathews introduced a placeholder rule allowing denied applicants to submit a written request to be placed on a Council meeting agenda. He explained that this preserves Council authority while allowing the admissions-appeal structure to be further refined by the working group. Mathews added that urgent admission questions can be routed into the preliminary Rule Clarification Process. The Council adopted the placeholder rule and Mathews ensured that the entire reasoning was restored to the public record.

- ❖ Chair Richard Mathews made a formal motion "to adopt the proposed Rule 10.28.5 Qualifications for Admission to the Academy, as amended through discussion by the Council yesterday and today." The motion was seconded by Lt. Tate McBride. A roll-call vote was taken, and all 16 members present voted YES. The motion passed, and Rule 10.28.5 was adopted as amended.

- 10.28.6 COURSE ACCREDITATION PROCEDURES
  - Day One – September 29, 2026
    - The Council approved expanded accreditation requirements to ensure instructional quality. Accredited courses must now include learning objectives, detailed lesson plans, source citations, evaluation materials, and alignment with standardized statewide curriculum. Accreditation applies to all law enforcement and public-safety-telecommunicator training providers. The Council explicitly recognized multiple instructional modalities including in-person, online, and hybrid to support modern training delivery.
  - Day Two – September 30, 2026
    - Chair Richard Mathews reviewed the course accreditation framework to restore it fully to the record. He explained that accreditation ensures uniform instructional quality and addresses prior inconsistencies in LEA approval processes. A public comment challenged the use of the word "modality," claiming it was incorrectly applied. Mathews clarified that the term is widely used in contemporary instructional design and higher education. Dr. Teresa Beaty supported this explanation, confirming the terminology's appropriateness. Commander Juan Cabrera, Lt.

DRAFT

John Barricklow, and Nicole Brown contributed examples of curriculum challenges and accreditation delays encountered at their academies. Mathews emphasized that accreditation is the Council's primary quality control tool and must be applied consistently statewide. With no further concerns raised, the Council retained the updated accreditation language.

- ❖ Chair Richard Mathews made a formal motion "to adopt the proposed Rule 10.28.6 Course Accreditation Procedures, as amended through discussion by the Council yesterday and today." The motion was seconded by Lt. Tate McBride. A roll-call vote was taken, and all 16 members present voted YES. The motion passed, and Rule 10.28.6 was adopted as amended.

- 10.28.7 INSTRUCTOR CREDENTIALING
  - Day One – September 29, 2026
    - The Council reviewed the categories of instructor credentials, including general, specialized, master, senior master, and professional lecturer classifications. General instructors must complete at least 40 hours of instructor development or an approved equivalent program. Specialized instructors must complete update courses when curriculum or legal changes occur. All instructors must renew credentials every four years by demonstrating current knowledge. Professional lecturers licensed or credentialed experts such as attorneys or medical professionals may teach without completing instructor development.
  - Day Two – September 30, 2026
    - Chair Richard Mathews led a detailed review of instructor-credentialing standards, prompted by extensive public comment. A major portion of the discussion focused on equivalency and whether out-of-state certifications or significant professional experience could substitute for traditional instructor development. Director Fabian Valdez and Lt. John Barricklow raised concerns about inconsistent instructor qualifications. Commander Juan Cabrera and Director Dennis Kelly addressed challenges agencies face when trying to meet credentialing standards, especially in rural areas. Mathews explained the need for a structured and defensible equivalency process to ensure fairness statewide. The Council affirmed the 40-hour instructor-development requirement but agreed that documented equivalents could be considered through a Council-approved review.
    - A substantial discussion occurred regarding whether Field Training Officers (FTOs) should fall under the instructor-credentialing rule.

DRAFT

Mathews clarified that FTO responsibilities differ fundamentally from instructional duties and committed the Council to addressing FTO standards through a future dedicated rulemaking. Mathews also explained why "good moral character" language was removed due to its subjectivity and lack of legal clarity. Nicole Brown, Joanna Wells, and Chief Greg Concho spoke throughout the discussion, helping refine the final wording. Through each exchange, Mathews guided the Council line by line to ensure clarity and consistency.

- ❖ Chair Richard Mathews made a formal motion "to adopt the proposed Rule 10.28.7 Instructor Credentialing, as amended through discussion by the Council yesterday and today." The motion was seconded by Lt. Tate McBride. A roll-call vote was taken, and all 16 members present voted YES. The motion passed, and Rule 10.28.7 was adopted as amended.

- 10.28.8 LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING
  - Day One – September 29, 2026
    - The Council clarified cost-recovery rules for advanced law enforcement training and reaffirmed that all charges must reflect actual cost and follow the Council-approved tuition schedule. Municipal, tribal, and Pueblo agencies remain exempt from basic training costs. Requests for facility use must comply with LEA procedures and availability constraints.
  - Day Two – September 30, 2026
    - Chair Richard Mathews restored the full Day One analysis and expanded on public concerns regarding training charges, particularly confusion about differences between basic and advanced training fees. Director Fabian Valdez, Director Dennis Kelly, and Joanna Wells shared administrative challenges caused by past inconsistencies in training billing and fee application. Mathews reiterated that although no amendments were being proposed at this time, the December cost study would guide future refinement of the fee structure and ensure compliance with statutory requirements. He emphasized that the Council must ensure fee structures are equitable statewide and do not disadvantage rural or tribal agencies. Mathews confirmed that the Council would revisit this rule once accurate financial data is available.

- ❖ Chair Richard Mathews made a formal motion "to adopt the proposed Rule 10.28.8 Law Enforcement Training, as amended through discussion by the Council yesterday and today." The motion was

seconded by Lt. Tate McBride. A roll-call vote was taken, and all 16 members present voted YES. The motion passed, and Rule 10.28.8 was adopted as amended.

- 10.28.9 PUBLIC SAFETY TELECOMMUNICATOR TRAINING
  - Day One – September 29, 2026
    - The Council reviewed PST basic training requirements and confirmed that tribal, pueblo, and certain federal officers seeking New Mexico commission authority must complete 400 hours of LEA-approved basic training. PST curriculum development remains aligned with accreditation and instructional standards governing law enforcement programs.
  - Day Two – September 30, 2026
    - Chair Richard Mathews led a significant restructuring of the PST training section. He explained that public comments and internal review demonstrated that hour-based requirements were outdated and inconsistent with modern PST roles. Nicole Brown, Joanna Wells, and Director Fabian Valdez contributed major insights about gaps and inconsistencies in current PST training. Mathews introduced competency-based training blocks to replace fixed hour requirements and clarified that both distance-learning and contact-training components must be completed before graduation. He also restated the need for PSTs to complete annual and biennial in service requirements tied to the current certification cycle.
    - Members including Director Dennis Kelly, Commander Juan Cabrera, and Chief Greg Concho raised questions about implementation timelines and training delivery. Mathews addressed each concern, emphasizing that statewide uniformity was essential and that the restructuring would improve clarity, reduce confusion, and align PST training more closely with operational needs.
      - ❖ Chair Richard Mathews made a formal motion "to adopt the proposed Rule 10.28.9 Public Safety Telecommunicator Training, as amended through discussion by the Council yesterday and today." The motion was then seconded by Nicole Brown. A roll-call vote was taken, and all 16 members present voted YES. The motion passed, and Rule 10.28.9 was adopted as amended.

B. Deliberation, Consideration and Possible Vote to Repeal Rules in Title 10, Chapter 29 NMAC.

DRAFT

- 10.29.2 FINANCIAL
    - Day Two – September 30, 2026
        - ❖ Chair Richard Mathews made a formal motion "to repeal Rule 10.29.2 Financial." The motion was seconded. A roll-call vote was taken, and all 16 members present voted YES. The motion passed, and Rule 10.29.2 was repealed.
- 10.29.3 COURSE ACCREDITATION PROCEDURES/GUIDELINES
    - Day Two – September 30, 2026
        - ❖ Chair Richard Mathews made a formal motion "to repeal Rule 10.29.3 Course Accreditation Procedures and Guidelines." The motion was seconded by Lt. Tate McBride. A roll-call vote was taken, and all 16 members present voted YES. The motion passed, and Rule 10.29.3 was repealed.
- 10.29.4 INSTRUCTOR CERTIFICATION AND ADVANCED CERTIFICATIONS
    - Day Two – September 30, 2026
        - ❖ Chair Richard Mathews made a formal motion "to repeal Rule 10.29.4 Instructor Certification and Advanced Certifications." The motion was seconded by Lt. Tate McBride. A roll-call vote was taken, and all 16 members present voted YES. The motion passed, and Rule 10.29.4 was repealed.
- 10.29.5 REGIONAL/SATELLITE POLICE OFFICER BASIC ACADEMIES
    - Day Two – September 30, 2026
        - ❖ Chair Richard Mathews made a formal motion "to repeal Rule 10.29.5 Regional Satellite Police Officer Basic Academies." The motion was seconded by Lt. Tate McBride. A roll-call vote was taken, and all 16 members present voted YES. The motion passed, and Rule 10.29.5 was repealed.
- 10.29.7 IN SERVICE TRAINING REQUIREMENTS
    - Day Two – September 30, 2026
        - ❖ Chair Richard Mathews made a formal motion "to repeal Rule 10.29.7 In-Service Training Requirements." The motion was seconded. A roll-call

DRAFT

vote was taken, and all 16 members present voted YES. The motion passed, and Rule 10.29.7 was repealed.

- 10.29.8 OFFICER TRANSITION TRAINING PROGRAM
  - Day Two – September 30, 2026
    - ❖ Chair Richard Mathews made a formal motion "to repeal Rule 10.29.8 Officer Transition Training Program." The motion was seconded. A roll-call vote was taken, and all 16 members present voted YES. The motion passed, and Rule 10.29.8 was repealed.
- 10.29.9 POLICE OFFICER
  - Day Two – September 30, 2026
    - ❖ Chair Richard Mathews made a formal motion "to repeal Rule 10.29.9 Police Officer." The motion was seconded by Lt. Tate McBride. A roll-call vote was taken, and all 16 members present voted YES. The motion passed, and Rule 10.29.9 was repealed.
- 10.29.10 PUBLIC SAFETY TELECOMMUNICATOR
  - Day Two – September 30, 2026
    - ❖ Chair Richard Mathews made a formal motion "to repeal Rule 10.29.10 Public Safety Telecommunicator." The motion was seconded by Nicole Brown. A roll-call vote was taken, and all 16 members present voted YES. The motion passed, and Rule 10.29.10 was repealed.

C. Discussion and vote on IADLEST POST director membership

- Day One – September 29, 2026
  - ▪ Chair Richard Mathews introduced the agenda item regarding the IADLEST POST Director membership. Mathews explained that IADLEST provides national POST director resources, model training standards, and professional development tools that would support both the Council and the LEA. After brief discussion, the Council agreed that obtaining the membership would benefit statewide training operations. A motion was made to approve the purchase of the IADLEST membership and to designate the LEA Director and a Law Enforcement Certification Board representative as the assigned members. The motion was seconded, and the Council voted unanimously to approve the membership.

D. Discussion and vote on council supported recommended changes to a new SB 50, based

DRAFT

on last year's bill.

- Including review of prior SB 50 bill and Fiscal Impact Reports
- Including review of NM Municipal League bill draft
    - Day Two – September 30, 2026
        - Chair Richard Mathews led a detailed, line-by-line analysis of a newly circulated draft bill from the Municipal League. Mathews explained why the draft reintroduced statutory training requirements that should remain within NMAC for flexibility and noted the draft's failure to address PST training. Joanna Wells, Nicole Brown, Director Dennis Kelly, Chief Greg Concho, and Director Fabian Valdez actively participated, identifying anticipated administrative burdens, tracking difficulties, and the lack of job-task-analysis justification. Mathews underscored that training statutes should be limited to broad authority while training specifics should remain within rules that the Council updates and manages. After thorough debate, the Council under Mathews' guidance decided not to support the draft bill "as written." Mathews instructed that any future legislative proposals must be reviewed by the Council before endorsement to prevent conflicts with established training structures.

E. Discussion and vote on items within topics R. Mathews will present on behalf of council to Courts, Corrections, and Justice Committee meeting scheduled for October 5th, 2026

- Day Two – September 30, 2026
    - Chair Richard Mathews led an extensive planning session for the Council's presentation to the Courts, Corrections, and Justice Committee. Mathews identified three primary statutory conflicts: the LEA Director's dual reporting structure to both DPS and the Council, the incorrect assumption expressed in public comment that the Council is subordinate to DPS, and ambiguities involving certification authority between the Council and the Board. Members including Director Fabian Valdez, Director Dennis Kelly, Nicole Brown, Joanna Wells, and Commander Juan Cabrera contributed insights on operational impacts arising from these statutory conflicts. A major portion of the discussion focused on Mathews' affidavit proposal, which would shift certification-of-completion responsibility to academy directors, reducing LEA Director liability and improving transparency. The Council agreed with the structure and Mathews secured consensus to include the affidavit concept in the CCJ briefing. Mathews emphasized that the Council would present statutory conflicts not predetermined solutions so that the Legislature could

properly evaluate and correct them.

F. Vote to ratify

- Certification by Waiver class #124 (May 31st – June 12th, 2026)
- Certification by Waiver class #125 (September 13th – September 25th, 2026)
- This item was tabled until the October 29, 2026 Special Meeting

IV. REPORTS

A. Council Budget status for the current fiscal year (allotment, how much has been spent so far, what money has been spent on) ASD DPS

B. Status of 11 legislatively funded full time positions for the council Director Valdez

- To include
  - The tool number for each of the positions
  - Where the tool number is currently assigned in DPS
  - Whether or not the position is filled, and who fills that position
  - The classification information including:
    - The Job Title
    - The Job Code
    - The Grade / Pay Band (not to include specific salary)
  - The council related duties that they are performing
  - Other duties they are performing not directly related to council business.

C. Directors' Reports

D. Status of Public Safety Telecommunicator academy curriculum development Nicole Brown

E. Status of Basic Law Enforcement Academy curriculum development Rhonda Pierce and R. Mathews.

- This sections was tabled until the October 29, 2026 Special Meeting.

V. PUBLIC COMMENT

The Council shall receive public comment from those attending in person or virtually. The time afforded each person wishing to speak is limited to three (3)

DRAFT

minutes. Members may ask questions for clarification but may not engage in substantive discussion or take formal action unless noticed in the meeting agenda. Comments will be taken under advisement and may be placed on the agenda of a future public meeting for discussion or action at the discretion of the Council.

- Rachel Feldman
- Senator Antoinio "Moe" Maestas

VI. NEXT MEETING AND ADJOURNMENT

A. Vote on amending the December council meeting to December 2nd, 2026.

B. Adjourn

DRAFT