1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	NEW MEXICO LAW ENFORCEMENT ACADEMY	
8	VIRTUAL REGULAR BOARD MEETING	
9	June 24, 2021	
10	9:07 a.m.	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	REPORTED BY: Jan A Williams, NM CCR 14	
25		

1	
2	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT
3	New Mexico Attorney General Hector Balderas, Chairman
4	Bobbie Jean Green, Vice Chairman
5	Rick Tedrow, Esq.
6	Chief Tim Johnson
7	
8	Chief Naithan Gurule
9	Sheriff Adan Mendoza
10	Sergeant Hollie Anderson
11	Connie Monahan
12	BOARD MEMBERS NOT PRESENT
13	Chief Clayton Garcia
14	
15	ALSO PRESENT
16	Kelly Alzaharna, Director
17	Steven Romero, Esq.
18	Monica Medrano, Case Manager
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
20	
24	

1		AGENDA ITEM INDEX	
2	ITEM		PAGE
3	1	Roll Call	5
4	2	Approval of Agenda	6
5	3	Approval of Meeting Minutes, April 1, 2021	9
6	4	Director's Report	11
7	5	Public Comment	33
8 9	6	Law Enforcement Officer Certifications for Approval and Issue	38
10	7	Public Safety Telecommunicator Certifications for Approval and Issue	52
11	8	Create Curriculum and Training Reform	52
12	0	Subcommittee	56
13 14	9	Request by Zachary Garcia for Reinstatement of Law Enforcement Certification	79
14	10	Anthony Madrid	81
16	11	Zachary Garcia	93
17	12	Anthony Madrid	94
18	13	William Gonzales	95
19	14	Andrew Sanchez	96
20	15	Heath White	97
21	16	Joshua Gordon	98
22	17	Kevin Hall	99
23	18	Daniel Balding	100
24	19	Paul Skotchdopole	101
25	20	Antonio Gutierrez	102

1		AGENDA ITEM INDEX (Cont'd)		
2	21	Thomas House	103	
3	22	Michael Burkowski	104	
4	23	Skyler McClaskey	105	
5	24	Kristen Autry	106	
6	25	Jade Benevidez	107	
7	26	Christopher Blazer	108	
8	27	Jacob Pando	108	
9	28	Ricky Romero, Jr.	109	
10	29	Ricky M. Romero	110	
11	30	Matthew Chavez	111	
12	31	Michael Shumate	112	
13	32	Eddie Weber	113	
14	33	Next Scheduled Meeting, September 2, 2021	114	
15	34	Adjournment	114	
16	Atta	ched.		
17	Attached: State Exhibit No. 1 - Anthony Madrid Hearing 87			
18	State Exhibit No. 1 - Anthony Madrid Hearing 87 Certification Ratifications			
19	Exhi	bits A through I - Law Enforcement Officers bits A through C - Public Safety Telecommunica	tors	
20			0010	
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1 A.G. BALDERAS: Good morning, everyone. I'm 2 going to go ahead and call this meeting to order, 3 noting that Dr. Green is trying to get on. Maybe my staff can call her and at least get her on the audio 4 5 portion, but I do want to call this meeting to order 6 now at 9:07. 7 ITEM NO. 1: ROLL CALL 8 A.G. BALDERAS: If our committee members can 9 hear me clearly, then I will go ahead and go to item 10 No. 1. Monica, would you mind doing a roll call. 11 DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Chairman, this is 12 Director Alzaharna. Her link is not going through so 13 she is working on that. 14 A.G. BALDERAS: Go ahead, Monica. I think we 15 can still establish a quorum. 16 MS. MEDRANO: Okay. Honorable Hector Balderas. 17 18 A.G. BALDERAS: Present. 19 MS. MEDRANO: District Attorney Rick Tedrow. 20 MR. TEDROW: Present. 21 MS. MEDRANO: Chief Tim Johnson. 22 CHIEF JOHNSON: Present. MS. MEDRANO: Sheriff Adan Mendoza. 23 24 SHERIFF MENDOZA: Present. 25 MS. MEDRANO: Clayton Garcia.

1 (No response.)

2 MS. MEDRANO: Chief Naithan Gurule.

3 CHIEF GURULE: Present.

4 MS. MEDRANO: Sergeant Hollie Anderson.

5 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Present.

6 MS. MEDRANO: Ms. Connie Monahan.

7 MS. MONAHAN: Present.

8 MS. MEDRANO: Dr. Bobbie Green.

9 (No response.)

10 A.G. BALDERAS: Great. I will also take note 11 that she is trying to get on. And I'm sure she will 12 be joining us very shortly, at least in our audio 13 portion. Jerri, maybe you could give her a call and 14 at least get her on the audio portion. That would be 15 helpful.

16 Monica, I'm assuming we have a quorum on the 17 roll call?

18 MS. MEDRANO: Yes, sir. We do.

19 ITEM NO. 2: APPROVAL OF AGENDA

A.G. BALDERAS: Great. Having taken that special notation for Dr. Green, we'll go ahead and move to item No. 2, which is approval of the agenda. If you'll take a quick moment to look over our agenda. I don't believe there were modifications to the agenda from the last attempted meeting. So if you look it over, I'll also entertain a motion to approve
 today's agenda, if that's okay with our committee.

3 MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chair, this is Counselor 4 Romero. There was an additional item No. 12 under the 5 disciplinary complaints that was added for Mr. Anthony 6 Madrid. And that's also item No. 10 for an intent to 7 suspend hearing.

8 A.G. BALDERAS: And I'm assuming that that 9 case was already advanced to the commission?

10 MR. ROMERO: So today, Mr. Chair, we'll have 11 the hearing on that notice of intent for immediate 12 suspension. I'm sorry. I should have clarified that. 13 A.G. BALDERAS: Okay. And that's item No. 10 14 our agenda?

15 MR. ROMERO: Yes, Mr. Chair.

16 A.G. BALDERAS: Great.

MR. TEDROW: Mr. Chair, this is Rick Tedrow. 17 18 And at this time I would move to approve the agenda 19 for today, including the added agenda item No. 10. 20 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Board Member 21 There is a motion. Is there a second? Tedrow. 22 CHIEF JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, Tim Johnson. I'11 23 second.

A.G. BALDERAS: Great. There is a motion by Board Member Tedrow and a second to approve today's

agenda, identifying the addition of item No. 10. I 1 2 also was able to locate that. Shall we do a voice 3 vote, are we doing voice votes? I think we still are. 4 MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chair, yes. I would recommend a roll call vote just since we're virtual. 5 6 That's the cleanest way. 7 A.G. BALDERAS: Okay. Go ahead, Monica, on 8 the motion and the second to approve the agenda. 9 MS. MEDRANO: Balderas. 10 A.G. BALDERAS: Favor. 11 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. MR. TEDROW: Yes. 12 13 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 14 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 15 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. 16 17 MS. MEDRANO: Mendoza. SHERIFF MENDOZA: Yes. 18 19 MS. MEDRANO: Garcia. 20 (No response.) 21 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 22 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 23 MS. MEDRANO: Monahan. MS. MONAHAN: Yes. 24 25 MS. MEDRANO: Green.

1 (No response.)

2 A.G. BALDERAS: Great. There was a motion 3 and a second. The motion passes, we do have an active agenda. I also want to note, if you can let Dr. Green 4 in. I just got a text that she's waiting to be let in 5 6 on our system. 7 DR. GREEN: I'm in, sir. 8 A.G. BALDERAS: Oh. There you are. 9 DR. GREEN: I am in. Good morning. I 10 apologize. I had a little technical issue, but I am 11 here. Thank you. 12 A.G. BALDERAS: No, no apology is needed. 13 You're actually there in video form as well. So we 14 welcome you. 15 ITEM NO. 3: APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES 16 APRIL 1, 2021 17 A.G. BALDERAS: We are now moving to item 18 No. 3, which would be the review and approval of the 19 minutes from the April 1 meeting in 2021. 20 I'll also take note that you should all have a copy of those minutes, but we've also put those on 21 22 the website for public guests. 23 If there are no corrections to those meeting 24 minutes by Members of the Board who are present, I'll 25 also entertain a motion.

1 MS. MONAHAN: Good morning, Chair. This is 2 Connie Monahan. I move to submit the minutes as 3 submitted. 4 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you. There is a motion. I'll entertain a second. 5 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Hollie Anderson. I'll 6 7 second. 8 A.G. BALDERAS: Great. There is a motion and 9 a second to approve the minutes from our last Board 10 meeting on April 1, 2021. Monica, you can take a 11 roll. 12 MS. MEDRANO: Balderas. 13 A.G. BALDERAS: In favor. MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 14 15 MR. TEDROW: Yes. 16 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 17 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 18 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 19 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. 20 MS. MEDRANO: Mendoza. 21 SHERIFF MENDOZA: Yes. 22 MS. MEDRANO: Garcia. 23 (No response.) 24 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 25 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes.

1 MS. MEDRANO: Monahan. 2 MS. MONAHAN: Yes. 3 MS. MEDRANO: Green. DR. GREEN: Yes. 4 5 A.G. BALDERAS: Excellent. On that roll call 6 vote, the motion and second to approve the minutes for April 1, 2021, does pass. 7 8 ITEM NO. 4: DIRECTOR'S REPORT 9 A.G. BALDERAS: We can now go to item No. 4, 10 our Director's report. I want to welcome our 11 Director. I know she's been very busy. 12 And, of course, I want to say hello to all 13 our Board Members as well. It's good to see all of 14 you. I do note that, with the COVID realities, I see 15 some light at the end of the tunnel. 16 So I look forward to seeing you all in person very soon and welcoming some of our public citizen 17 18 input as well in person. So I do apologize for my 19 technical limitations at present. 20 But, Director, you have the floor. We're now on item No. 4 of your Director's report. 21 22 And DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Thank you, Chair. 23 good morning, Board Members. Item No. 1, Board 24 Members, I hope you didn't have any difficulty. We're 25 using a new large file delivery system to deliver your

1 binders electronically.

I didn't have any complaints back that people didn't get the materials. We found that it worked for our large binder. I don't know how large will work when we try to send out the curriculum to you all in the same manner.

7 We did get a bunch of kickbacks and 8 rejections. So I don't know how good it will work for 9 how long. But I think it's better than what we've 10 been trying to do to send it to you in multiple parts. 11 An update on our hiring. We have one instructor in process for hiring. Unfortunately the 12 13 misconduct manager we had hired accepted another 14 position with another state agency and has left 15 already. His last day was yesterday. So we are 16 reposting for the misconduct case manager position. 17 We also have ongoing processes for our

18 training coordinator and the additional instructor 19 positions. And we have one instructor and one 20 compliance officer position that are on hold until the 21 start of the new fiscal year.

I am happy to say that we did get an executive assistant hired. That's Ms. Georgia Segovia.

25 We also hired a curriculum development

specialist, which I'm particularly excited about since we're going to be moving forward on the curriculum, and that is Janole Marchant.

And we just hired this week an additional compliance officer which helps us tremendously right now with just processing all of the applications for all of the academies, all of the applications for course accreditations, and the different levels of instructor and advanced level certification.

10 So that's increasing our pool right now by 30 11 percent. And that's Mr. Jason Garrett. So we are 12 moving along with getting some hires in position.

13 As far as the Acadis program goes, we started 14 at the end of last year. And our first major goal was 15 to be able to get all the agencies, law enforcement 16 agencies and telecommunicator agencies, access so they can actually get in and update their course rosters 17 18 themselves. Those rosters are something that DFA 19 requests in the spring so they can work on the LEPF 20 funding distribution.

21 So we've got all of the law enforcement 22 agencies on board. They are able to get in and view 23 their rosters. We're still working with several 24 telecommunicator agencies. But that was for all 25 intents and purposes successful.

1 Our next step with that is working on getting 2 them to be able to enter their in-service training 3 compliance into the system. We're not quite there 4 yet.

5 Now, the system will accept it all, we can 6 enter all of this data, but we're working with the 7 vendor to get it so that we can pull it out in reports 8 that are actually meaningful to people. So we're 9 still working on that phase of it.

10 Notifications. I'm sending out via email 11 right now, either weekly or biweekly, to all the 12 agencies training updates. If we're aware that 13 training is going on anywhere in the state, whether 14 it's a national vendor that's coming in and being 15 hosted by an agency and they're wanting to put it out, 16 they're being really good about sending that to us.

17 So I'm putting together a list and sending it 18 out to all the agencies and the agency heads. And 19 also if there's anything that we know that's going on 20 from in-service to just an individual class that an 21 agency wants to open up, we're also putting that out 22 to all the agencies so that they're aware and people 23 can sign up.

24 There had been some good webinars I think to 25 the Board Members. I sent out the one on the National

Decertification Index. I'm trying to send that out to you ahead of time so that you can sign up and register, if you want to watch it.

Also, if you do but then you're unable to watch it, I believe you're able to get back into the link later if you missed it. That was a good one. I think it was relevant to what we're trying to do.

8 And there's going to be another one coming up 9 in July that I will send you the information on once 10 it's out. And that's going to be about what makes us 11 strong in POST, Police Officer Standards and Training. 12 And it will talk about best practices and things that 13 you're looking for that you want to follow. That one 14 will be mid to late July.

As far as our academies go, for the NMLEA here in Santa Fe, we have all our Basic Police Officer Academies, our Certification by Waiver Academies, and our Public Safety Telecommunicator Academies posted. They are posted on our websites, the upcoming classes, through 2023.

Some of them may only be listed to the end of '22 '22. But for all intents and purposes, we've got them scheduled almost two years out. And they're posted so agencies are able to see what's available.

25 On May 18 we had a meeting with all of the

satellite and regional Academy Directors up here at
 the academy. I was kind of apologetic in saying, you
 know, with everything going on over the last year, I'm
 sorry we couldn't get one sooner.

5 And then I was told that they hadn't 6 happened, that most of them while they were in their 7 positions had never had one. So I didn't feel as far 8 behind the curve.

9 And it was a several-hour discussion. We 10 identified major topics that are a concern for all of 11 them. And I think it gave them all a little bit of 12 insight as to -- most of them have similar concerns or 13 questions. So we can work together on them to resolve 14 some of them.

And we are going to meet initially twice a year. We're going to continue to network and communicate with each other. But we set a goal of us trying to meet twice a year just particularly on those topics.

I'm not sure if I mentioned it here before. But there is a project that the Law Enforcement Academy has been selected to participate in. It's called the Academy Innovations Project.

And basically we are one of six academies that were selected for this project. There were two

State academies, two municipal academies, and two
 community college-based academies.

3 The whole goal of the project is to identify improved methodologies for every phase of law 4 5 enforcement training content. And what the project is 6 going to try to do is to attempt to evaluate if the 7 methods and timing of how training content is 8 delivered to students, whether or not that improves 9 the knowledge and skill retention at a basic academy 10 level.

It's not at all training levels. It's
particularly for a basic academy setting. And it's
designed to hopefully develop an evidence-based
training method for the law enforcement industry.

I'll post or I'll send you all a few more detailed sheets if you want more information about it.
But just a couple points that have to do with it.

What this means is that basically we're going to take a look at teaching a recruit skill. And then see if reinforcing that skill throughout the remainder of the academy by integrating it into other subject matter lessons will make a difference in their retention rates.

24 So I have more information available on that, 25 if you're interested. It's going to start. We're

1 going to be involved in this with the academy that 2 starts in January and we'll be running it with that 3 particular class. So I'll have more details available 4 as you're interested or as I get them to post them to 5 you all.

The last item that I have for my report is I'm going to share my screen with you. And before I get started, I want to make sure you all are able to see it. Can everybody see that okay?

10 A.G. BALDERAS: Yes.

11 DR. GREEN: Yes. We can see it.

12 DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Great. At our last 13 meeting in discussion, Board Members, you had 14 questions. And the Chair identified some areas that 15 he would like addressed or like us to provide 16 additional information on.

17 So I'm going to talk a little bit about the 18 Board and those questions that were initially brought 19 up.

The three questions that came out of it were what is the Board's legal authority regarding curriculum oversight and enforcement. The second one was what discretion do the individual satellite/regional academies have in setting their curriculum. And in light of those, where are we now. 1 So as far as the oversight and enforcement --2 and I think Counsel Romero may touch on the legal 3 parts of these. I'm just addressing basic NMAC, kind 4 of what I look at from this perspective when I'm 5 looking at curriculum and working with the satellite 6 academies on what the expectations are.

Basically Statute 29-7-4 outlines what the powers and the duties of the Board are. And it identifies that, "The Board shall develop and implement a planned program of basic law enforcement training and in-service law enforcement training."

12 It also identifies that, "The Board shall 13 prescribe qualifications for instructors and prescribe 14 courses of instruction for basic law enforcement 15 training and in-service law enforcement training."

16 That filters down into our NMAC, what prior 17 Boards have established as their regulations 18 pertaining to that statute. And it says that, "All 19 agencies and institutions who request approval for 20 their basic training programs need to notify DPS and 21 provide the following information for approval prior 22 to that program being approved."

23 So in light of that, that basically is 24 referring to our satellite/regional academies and what 25 they have to do to get approved or accredited to teach 1 their programs.

2	What they have to do is they have to submit
3	curriculum which must correspond with the current
4	minimum standards of training set for the requested
5	program in the previous section. So it says that it
6	has to be in line with the curriculum that's
7	identified in regulation, it doesn't say that it has
8	to be identical or exactly.

9 And it basically says that the Director is 10 supposed to evaluate the course of instruction offered 11 by each of the academies and make necessary 12 modifications and additions to the programs in any way 13 possible.

14 So in theory we've got the academy program that's established by NMLEA. Right now most of our 15 16 satellite academies are using that as a template. And they're either adding additional curriculum that meets 17 the needs of their region or agency or they're adding 18 19 additional hours because they're finding that the 20 minimum hours aren't enough for them to get that topic 21 taught. That's kind of what our staff experiences 22 here every day.

As far as the academy discretion, this is question No. 2, it refers back to that same section. It basically says that the satellite academies have to

request approval for each of their training programs
 through DPS.

And this is just a boots-on-the-ground interpretation. So they have discretion in what additional material they add to their curriculum, but it has to be reviewed and approved through the NMLEA process.

8 That being said, where we are now, I'm just 9 going to give you an overview of what we're looking at 10 from this staff's perspective and how we deal with 11 stuff.

You have the basic academy curriculum. And we call it the BPOT, the basic police officer training academy. Cadets who go through that are usually brand-new officers or they're previously certified officers who haven't been employed for longer than eight years.

18 That regulation below in NMAC establishes 19 that this total basic academy minimum hours required 20 for certification is 672 hours. That's what our staff 21 works off of when we're working with the new 22 curriculum and approval.

In light of that, this is an overview of what our current satellite academies are running. The Albuquerque Police Department Academy is a 785-hour

academy. Bernalillo County is 1,066 hours. CNM is
 756 hours. Dona Ana is 859 hours. And Las Cruces PD
 is 1,082 hours.

4 Our academy, the New Mexico Law Enforcement 5 Academy, is the minimum 672 hours. The New Mexico 6 State Police Academy is 900 hours. San Juan County 7 Criminal Justice Training Authority is 905 hours.

8 Southeastern New Mexico Law Enforcement 9 Academy in Hobbs is 730 hours. And Western New Mexico 10 Law Enforcement Academy at Western New Mexico 11 University is 899 hours.

12 The next applicable academy is the 13 certification by waiver academy. And that academy is 14 designed for officers who have previously been 15 certified in another state or officers who have been 16 certified in New Mexico, but they haven't been 17 employed for more than two years but less than eight 18 years.

19 So they come back and go through kind of this 20 refresher training before they're eligible to have 21 their certification reactivated. That section of the 22 NMAC listed there establishes that this academy has a 23 minimum 80 hours of academy accredited training 24 required.

Based on where we are and what we want to do

1 looking forward to take a look at our academy

2 curriculum, I have identified three options that have 3 just been tossed around. The first one is that the 4 Board staff work with subject matter experts in each 5 of the topic areas to help revise the curriculum or 6 update it.

Number two, we could have a professional curriculum review by a vendor or an organization that does that, that's what their mission is. Or number three, which is to have a job task analysis followed by curriculum development based on the results of that JTA.

As far as having subject matter experts do that, it's an option. And it would work, I think it would work, if we were looking at one topic; if we wanted to take a look at domestic violence and have the subject matter experts update our curriculum and make it current.

But it would actually be overburdensome to create a brand-new curriculum for the entire academy if we don't have a job task analysis to view it in light of what do police officers do with domestic violence now, what are the tasks and responsibilities. It's also overburdensome because we have 672 hours of curriculum that needs to be looked at, to

1 break this down section by section by section, number 2 one, without the staffing to do it.

And what I hear a lot is people are like why isn't the Board doing that, why isn't that the Board's responsibility. Well, I don't want to speak for any Board Members.

But in conversations it's no, that the Board doesn't do that. The Board reviews it and approves it. But I don't think it's realistic to expect that Board Members are going to sit down and write 762 hours of curriculum. And the staffing certainly doesn't lend itself to that as well.

Even if we were fully staffed, the positions that have been set up here for an extended period of time don't have the subject matter expertise to develop this type of curriculum.

17 The second option would be the professional 18 curriculum review. There are organizations out there. 19 I'm using IADLEST. You've heard me talk about them 20 before in this example.

They actually have a national certification program. It was initially designed to take a look at agencies' in-service training. So I'll utilize our 40 hours of required in-service training. And you can take any block of that. We want to have that block of 1 training reviewed and accredited and worked with us to 2 update it and make it current.

We can work with this national certification program and have them look at it and give us suggestions for updating it. And they have the subject matter experts on hand. They have people in the field doing this.

8 And that's what this program is for. Roughly 9 there's a \$250 application fee; \$165 for training 10 hours, if we wanted it to be an online training; \$65 11 per training hour if it's in person. And the 12 certification is valid for two years.

13 Currently their program is designed for 14 in-service. But they actually just sent out surveys 15 over the last two weeks because they've had such an 16 influx of requests from POST agencies like ours to set 17 up a program like this but for basic academy 18 curriculum, just not in-service training.

19 So it's something that people are looking 20 for. And it's something that they said -- that they 21 want to take a look at reviewing basic academy 22 curriculum. But I'm not sure they're there yet.

The third option is the job task analysis with curriculum development. And quite honestly I've been talking about a job task analysis for the year 1 and a half I've been here. It's been since 2002 since 2 we've had a job task analysis in New Mexico regarding 3 New Mexico law enforcement officers.

What it is is a study that identifies the skill and knowledge requirements needed by employees to perform their job in compliance with their professional and legal guidelines. So what is it that police officers in New Mexico do and what are the skills they need to do that job the way it needs to be done now.

And all of your basic academy curriculum should be based on this job task analysis. We shouldn't be going in there and just changing a class because whoever, whether it's me or anybody else, says, you know, I just think this is old, we need to update it.

We need to have some articulation and justification as to why we're changing curriculum. And the new curriculum that we're going to establish, what is it based on.

There are multiple vendors out there that perform this review. There are numerous states that have -- there have been very few states that have done it on a regular basis for valid reasons.

25 But states have been working on this for

several years in this field to the tune that it's
 brought up vendors who do this specifically. And
 that's all they do for agencies.

The estimate to have a job task analysis with curriculum development attached is about \$1.5 million. And it takes approximately two years. But once it's done, you have established the criteria and the justification for what your curriculum is based on.

9 And it's articulable and justifiable. And if 10 we get questioned on it, we're able to say where it 11 came from and why are we putting this training in, why 12 is this block of training in this curriculum.

I've identified areas that we're looking at for moving forward. Besides the basic curriculum, we have in-service training and compliance. There are all kinds of questions that are revolving around that.

17 The in-service training has been mandated in 18 the Legislature. For the most part, the Board has 19 taken that once those have been mandated and they've 20 written them into their rules.

The feedback I have been getting from agencies is is there a better way to do that. And they use several of the topics that we have.

24 These agencies are forced to do these25 training hours every year when it's a topic that may

be something that's already in the basic curriculum.
When these tourniquet and trauma kits are brought up,
the Legislature has mandated that there's 30 hours of
training every year.

5 And agencies say that it's overburdensome. 6 It's not that they don't agree that these training 7 topics aren't important. But the amount of time and 8 effort it takes for the agencies to implement this 9 training every year, if it's an annual training topic, 10 or every two years when there's other stuff that their 11 agencies need is frustrating.

Especially when it comes down and it's mandated, they have to do it that way, and not giving them the assistance in doing it. So we're working on taking a look at that.

16 The Law Enforcement Training Act and the New 17 Mexico Administrative Code -- let me jump back to 18 in-service training.

My thoughts on it are that required topics should be statutorily mandated only from a bird's-eye view, which would say if there's a particular topic that somebody wants, then this many hours in this topic. But what that training is should be up to the agencies based on what are their needs, what's the requirement, not what the hot button topic of the day 1 is.

If they do that and set it out and it statutorily says X number of hours in this topic, then the Board should be able to determine what those mandated topics are.

6 So if there are 40 hours mandated by statute, 7 then each year the Board takes a look at that and 8 says, out of those 40 hours, what are the topics that 9 our agencies are asking for, what are the topics that 10 are identified that we feel they need additional 11 training in, what the Board feels needs additional 12 training in.

And they can do that by proclamation instead of having to revise the regulations every year as those topics change. And to add to that, there needs to be identifiable viable consequences and processes for noncompliance. And what those are should be promulgated in the NMAC as well.

Another area we can look at is the New Mexico Statutes. Chapter 29, Article 7, is the Law Enforcement Training Act. That statute is already being looked at this year.

And I think the Chairman and the Secretary had pointed that out and said, if you haven't yet, take a look at what was put out this year; because it will certainly come up again in the next Legislature.
 You can take a look at what that's going to look like.
 Because those revisions will effect again the Board's
 regulations.

5 The Board's regulations happen to be Title 6 10, Chapter 29, 1 through 10. And my suggestion is 7 that a repeal and replace takes place with that.

8 The OAG Director, Joe Dvorak, and Board 9 Counsel, Steve Romero, and are going to be working on 10 drafts for that for the Board to review regarding 11 updating those regulations. And I think we've talked 12 about that earlier.

As far as certification, decertification, and minimum standards, as far as taking a look at the statutes, they should identify what minimum eligibility standards are. And that should include for hiring.

18 Right now one of the difficulties our staff 19 is experiencing is -- and it falls into other areas as 20 well, it falls into certification. And not just 21 training.

When we talk about misconduct cases, some of these misconducts are coming up because we're dealing with people that should never have been hired in the first place; but our standards aren't clear enough to 1 weed them out at a point that they shouldn't be hired.

An applicant for employment or basic training should be required to meet all the minimum eligibility standards prior to being hired. Right now, the way our statutes read, they don't have to meet those eligibility standards.

7 The first time it has to be reviewed and 8 approved is when they're applying to go to training. 9 And I think we all know this.

10 So that means an agency can hire a convicted 11 felon. And they can work for 11 months, because that 12 requirement doesn't kick in until they apply to go to 13 training instead of a requirement prior to being 14 hired.

Once an officer is certified, if they no longer meet the standards or maintain them, then they shouldn't be certified. They have to meet those standards before they can ever be certified. So if they fall below those standards once they are an officer, it makes sense that they shouldn't be able to perform those duties.

22 With all of that overview, basically just 23 some of the recommendations that I have as far as the 24 basic academy curriculum is that we somehow move 25 forward with the job task analysis with the curriculum

1 development. That's a basic stepping-stone before we
2 take any other action on the curriculum.

3 As far as in-service training and compliance, we take a look at the State statute and what revisions 4 5 would help improve what we're dealing with, the standards as far as law enforcement officers and 6 7 telecommunicators. And in line with that, repeal and replace and update the NMAC to coincide with what 8 9 those statutory updates are. 10 And finally, for minimum standards and 11 certification/decertification, again revisions to 12 statute and repeal and replacement that coincides with 13 that on the NMAC side. 14 Thank you. That's the end of my Director's 15 report. 16 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Director. We can 17 now entertain questions from the Board. 18 (No response.) 19 A.G. BALDERAS: I'm assuming there might be 20 no questions at this point in the agenda. 21 Thank you, Director. I appreciate that. Ι 22 think the Board has, for a period of time at this 23 point, you know, been advocating not only 24 standardization, but consistency and competencies. 25 And more importantly, in addition to that, uniformity.

But that ultimately the consistency leads to improved community safety and obviously officer safety. And so I do appreciate you laying out some options for the Board.

5 We are nearing the portion of public comment. 6 I do also want to note that later in the agenda we 7 will also be discussing a subcommittee on curriculum, 8 where you will have some active participation from 9 Board Members to kind of guide you through that 10 modernization process as well.

But I'll address that in a little bit more detail in item No. 8 of our agenda.

13 ITEM NO. 5: PUBLIC COMMENT

A.G. BALDERAS: So at this time I don't have a list, but I'll take some liberty here. We are now at the public comment portion. We generally allow our quests about three to five minutes.

Mr. Mechels, I don't have you on the list, but I'm assuming that you would like to share some comments and some insight with us today. Is that correct?

22 MR. MECHELS: Yes. That's correct. I had my 23 hand raised.

A.G. BALDERAS: Great. Go ahead,Mr. Mechels, you have the floor.

MR. MECHELS: Yes. First I would like to say that I think -- the first thing I would like to do is support the comments by the Director as the central picture of the job task analysis. That has to be the base of everything else that you're doing to get the whole system to work.

7 I would also point out that the job task 8 analysis -- which many of you may not be aware, the 9 job task analysis is the basis for all police 10 training.

If I look at the CALEA program, which is the accreditation program that the State Police are in, you look at their training section, it calls for a job task analysis for all of their training. Not just the training -- the basic training. It's all of their training. Are they doing it? Probably not.

17 The accreditation programs for many of our 18 State Police agencies, including Los Lunas I know is 19 one of them because I've looked at their program, 20 they're accredited under the State program, which is a 21 takeoff on the CALEA program. Also their training all 22 relies upon a job task analysis. Are they doing it? 23 No.

APD's training program also, if you look at their training, which is training policy which is

1 online, it relies upon a job task analysis for all of 2 their courses.

I sent in an IPRA, a Public Records Act request, and asked to see their job task analysis. They don't have any. This is a central feature. Not just for the basic training, but for all police training.

8 This should all begin with understanding what 9 you're about and analyzing the tasks that you're 10 doing. And the curriculum and the course should rely 11 upon the job task analysis.

12 That's a central feature. Basically across 13 the state, we've got all of these commitments we're 14 signed up for that just are not happening. So not 15 only with the LEA, but across these police agencies.

We've got to rely upon a more formal structure, because the job task analysis isn't happening. So people are just making courses up.

Here in Santa Fe, I asked to see their job task analysis. Of course, they don't have any. I mean it's a problem we just haven't been talking about.

The other big problem which is central to all of this and it's a big problem today is your testing. The testing is corrupt. The testing originally goes

1 back to -- the curriculum you're running today was 2 based in 2013. And then, after changing that 3 curriculum, the test scores went -- the test scores 4 were unacceptable. Cadets started failing the test.

5 So Jack Jones, the Director at the time, 6 unilateral -- modified the test so he could get the 7 scores so that more people would pass.

8 Then Steve Marshall in 2016 again modified 9 the test, reducing the number of questions from 300 to 10 200, and changed the content of it.

11 So basically people just were modifying the 12 test to get the yield rate up. I mean imagine. 13 Imagine that. In our state our testing is used to get 14 the yield rate that we wish. If our yield rate is too 15 low, we'll modify the test. Come on. We've got to 16 stop doing this. This is incredible.

17 It's like a law in your schools is modify the 18 test so more kids will graduate, though in Santa Fe we 19 probably are. Come on. We can do better than this.

I think the Board really needs to engage these issues. I don't think that they're engaging. From my interaction with the Board, I'm not even sure they understand what their role is. They just seem to be asleep at the switch.

25 I mean come on, all of you people. We've got

a really serious problem here, we can't talk it away.
 We have got to get serious about this.

Much of what the Director is talking about is going in the right direction. But it's going to take a couple years as she mentioned. Not just for the job task analysis. But after the job task analysis, you have to do lesson plans, you have to do the curriculum. You have to build a curriculum based upon that. It takes awhile.

10 Right now, I would say right now what you 11 need to do is clean up your testing. It is 12 unacceptable to have testing to get the yield rate up 13 for the next two years. You're just giving cops a 14 pass.

This is not working. That's why we rank so last in our -- we rank about last in our country for our police. This is -- should be -- this is unacceptable for all of us, especially for this Board. So anyway I think long term perhaps we're

20 going to do great. Short term we are an utter mess.
21 Anyway that's my two cents. I'm chiding the Board
22 once again. Thank you for your ear. And good luck to
23 you all.

A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Mr. Mechels.Appreciate it.

1 Do we have any other additional public 2 comment from some of our guests? 3 (No response.) ITEM NO. 6: LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER CERTIFICATIONS 4 FOR APPROVAL AND ISSUE 5 6 A.G. BALDERAS: Great. Okay. We can now move to item No. 6, law enforcement officer 7 8 certifications. I will now call on Director Alzaharna 9 to identify the exhibits. We usually identify them 10 from the beginning number of the exhibit to the last 11 number. And, Director Alzaharna, you have the floor. 12 DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Thank you. Yes. We're 13 on item No. 6, law enforcement officer certifications. 14 I want to point out that there are nonsubstantive 15 changes in what I'm going to read on the numbers from the agenda that's posted on the website. 16 17 We had a couple instances between the last 18 two meetings where there were three additional 19 academies. So the numbering was corrected. Your 20 exhibits are correct. Okay. They won't coincide with 21 what was listed on the agenda. 22 I'm going to start with law enforcement 23 officer certifications. Item A, BCSO BPOT No. 42, 24 Exhibit A, Certification Nos. 21-0065-P through 25 21-0089-P and 14-0419-P.

1 Item B, NMLEA CBW No. 103, Exhibit B, 2 Certification Nos. 21-0090-P through 21-0098-P, 3 10-0047-Р, 10-0029-Р, 14-0065-Р, 88-0173-Р, 94-0007-Р, 91-0022-P, and 94-0292-P. 4 5 A.G. BALDERAS: Just so I'm clear, Director, 6 that is item No. 7, category A? Is that just A for 7 BCSO? 8 DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: That's item No. 6. And 9 the first one was A, BCSO BPOT No. 42. And what I 10 just finished was letter B for NMLEA CBW No. 103. 11 A.G. BALDERAS: Got it. So I understand, item No. 6, subsection A, for the BCSO categories, 12 right? I'll defer to you on the reference of the 13 14 numbers of certifications. 15 DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Yes, sir. 16 A.G. BALDERAS: Okay. Thank you. I'll now 17 entertain a motion to pass those certifications as 18 stated by Director Alzaharna. 19 DR. GREEN: So moved. This is Bobbie Green. 20 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Board Member Green. There is a motion to approve item No. 6, 21 22 subsection A, of the BCSO categories. Is there a 23 second? 24 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Hollie Anderson. I'll 25 second.

1 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Board Member 2 Anderson. There is a motion and a second. I'll entertain a roll call on that motion. 3 4 MS. MEDRANO: Balderas. A.G. BALDERAS: Yes. 5 6 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 7 MR. TEDROW: Yes. 8 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 9 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 10 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 11 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. 12 MS. MEDRANO: Mendoza. 13 SHERIFF MENDOZA: Yes. MS. MEDRANO: Garcia. 14 15 (No response.) 16 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 17 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. MS. MEDRANO: Monahan. 18 19 MS. MONAHAN: Yes. 20 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 21 DR. GREEN: Yes. 22 A.G. BALDERAS: Great. The roll call result 23 is passage of item No. 6, subsection A, the BCSO 24 categories. There was a motion and a second and the 25 motion passes. We can now move to item No. 6,

1 subsection B.

2 DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: And subsection B, I did 3 already read those. Would you like them repeated? A.G. BALDERAS: No. Was that A and B then? 4 DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Yes, sir. 5 6 A.G. BALDERAS: Okay. No. You don't need to 7 repeat those. The motion was to pass A and B. You 8 can proceed to the next subsection. 9 DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Subsection C, NMLEA BPOT 10 No. 201, Exhibit C, Certification Nos. 21-0099-P 11 through 21-0140-P. 12 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you. I'll now 13 entertain a motion for the NMLEA BPOT, Certification 14 Nos. 21-0099-P through 21-0140-P. Is there a motion? 15 SERGEANT ANDERSON: This is Hollie Anderson. 16 I move to except the item numbers as stated. 17 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you. Is there a second to Board Member Anderson's motion? 18 19 DR. GREEN: This is Bobbie Green. I will 20 second. 21 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Dr. Green. We can 22 now entertain a roll call. 23 MS. MEDRANO: Balderas. A.G. BALDERAS: Yes. 24 25 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow.

1 MR. TEDROW: Yes. 2 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 3 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 4 (No response.) 5 MS. MEDRANO: Mendoza. 6 7 SHERIFF MENDOZA: Yes. 8 MS. MEDRANO: Garcia. 9 (No response.) MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 10 11 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 12 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 13 DR. GREEN: Yes. A.G. BALDERAS: Great. There was a motion 14 and a second to approve item No. 6, subsection C, 15 NMLEA BPOT exhibits as stated. The roll call results 16 in a do pass. We can now move to subsection D. 17 18 DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Subsection D is the 19 LEOCE Challenge, Exhibit D, Certification 20 Nos. 21-0141-P and 21-0142-P. 21 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Director. I'll 22 entertain a motion. Is there a motion for 23 subsection C? 24 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Sergeant Anderson. I'll 25 move to accept subsection C.

1 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you. There is a motion. Is there a second to that motion? 2 3 MR. TEDROW: Rick Tedrow, second. 4 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you. There is a motion 5 and a second to approve subsection C as stated by the Director. We'll have a roll call. 6 7 MS. MEDRANO: Balderas. 8 A.G. BALDERAS: Yes. 9 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 10 MR. TEDROW: Yes. 11 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 12 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 13 MS. MEDRANO: Mendoza. 14 SHERIFF MENDOZA: Yes. 15 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 16 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. MS. MEDRANO: Monahan. 17 MS. MONAHAN: Yes. 18 19 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 20 DR. GREEN: Yes. 21 A.G. BALDERAS: Great. The result is there 22 is a do pass of item No. 6, subsection C. We can now 23 move to subsection D. 24 DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Chair, I believe what 25 passed was subsection D. So I'll read subsection E

1 now.

2 A.G. BALDERAS: Counselor, I'm not sure if 3 you were following the record. I just want to make sure I clarified that correctly. So we've already 4 5 passed C and now we've passed D? 6 MR. ROMERO: Yes, Mr. Chair. That's what I'm 7 tracking. We just did the LEOCE Challenge on 8 Exhibit D. So I think we're on Exhibit E, CNM BPOT. 9 A.G. BALDERAS: Okay. As long as there's 10 adequacy in both of those motions for the do pass, we 11 can move now to the next subsection. 12 DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Subsection E, CNM BPOT 13 No. 5, Exhibit E, Certification Nos. 21-0143-P through 14 21-0156-P. 15 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Director. I'll now entertain a motion to pass subsection E. 16 17 CHIEF GURULE: This is Chief Gurule. I'll 18 make a motion to pass subsection E. 19 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Board Member. 20 There is a motion. Is there a second? 21 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Hollie Anderson. I'll 22 second. 23 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you for that second. 24 There is a motion and a second to pass subsection E 25 ending in 0156. I will now call on a roll call.

1	MS. MEDRANO: Balderas.
2	A.G. BALDERAS: Yes.
3	MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow.
4	MR. TEDROW: Yes.
5	MS. MEDRANO: Gurule.
6	CHIEF GURULE: Yes.
7	MS. MEDRANO: Mendoza.
8	SHERIFF MENDOZA: Yes.
9	MS. MEDRANO: Garcia.
10	(No response.)
11	MS. MEDRANO: Anderson.
12	SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes.
13	MS. MEDRANO: Monahan.
14	MS. MONAHAN: Yes.
15	MS. MEDRANO: Green.
16	DR. GREEN: Yes.
17	A.G. BALDERAS: Great. This results in a do
18	pass. We have one more final item, is that correct,
19	Director?
20	DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: No, sir. For item
21	No. 6, we have four.
22	A.G. BALDERAS: Okay. So there is no F
23	remaining on that for subcategories. We can now
24	MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chair, this is Counsel
25	Romero. I believe there are still a couple more

1 subsections under six.

2 A.G. BALDERAS: Yes. That's what I was 3 referencing, that's what I thought. Director, are you tracking that as well? 4 DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Yes, sir. I have four 5 more subsections under item 6. 6 7 A.G. BALDERAS: That's what I meant. You're welcome to proceed to the next section. 8 9 DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Subsection F is SNMLEA 10 BPOT No. 45, Exhibit F, Certification Nos. 21-0158-P 11 through 21-0185-P. 12 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Director. There 13 is a reference to Certification Nos. 21-0158-P through 14 21-0185-P. I'll now entertain a motion. 15 CHIEF GURULE: This is Chief Gurule. I'll 16 make the motion. 17 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Board Member. Is there a second to his motion? 18 19 DR. GREEN: This is Bobbie Green. I will 20 second. 21 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Dr. Green. There 22 was a motion and a second for certifications ending in 23 0158-P through 0185-P. We'll now entertain a roll 24 call vote. 25 MS. MEDRANO: Balderas.

1	A.G. BALDERAS: Yes.
2	MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow.
3	MR. TEDROW: Yes.
4	MS. MEDRANO: Gurule.
5	CHIEF GURULE: Yes.
6	MS. MEDRANO: Johnson.
7	(No response.)
8	MS. MEDRANO: Mendoza.
9	SHERIFF MENDOZA: Yes.
10	MS. MEDRANO: Anderson.
11	SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes.
12	MS. MEDRANO: Monahan.
13	MS. MONAHAN: Yes.
14	MS. MEDRANO: Green.
15	DR. GREEN: Yes.
16	A.G. BALDERAS: The result of the roll call
17	vote is there is a do pass. We can now move to the
18	next item.
19	DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Subsection G is LCPD
20	BPOT No. 50, Exhibit G, Certification Nos. 21-0186-P
21	through 21-0193-P.
22	A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Director. I'll
23	now entertain a motion to move subsection G.
24	MR. TEDROW: Mr. Chairman, this is Rick
25	Tedrow. I would move subsection G as reported.

A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Board Member 1 2 Tedrow. There is a motion by Board Member Tedrow to 3 move subsection G. Is there a second to his motion? CHIEF GURULE: I'll second. This is Chief 4 5 Gurule. 6 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Board Member 7 Gurule. There is a motion and a second to move 8 subsection G. We'll entertain a roll call vote. 9 MS. MEDRANO: Balderas. 10 A.G. BALDERAS: Yes. 11 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. MR. TEDROW: Yes. 12 13 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 14 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 15 MS. MEDRANO: Mendoza. 16 SHERIFF MENDOZA: Yes. MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 17 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 18 19 MS. MEDRANO: Monahan. 20 MS. MONAHAN: Yes. MS. MEDRANO: Green. 21 22 DR. GREEN: Yes. 23 A.G. BALDERAS: The result of the roll call 24 vote is a do pass of subsection G. We can proceed to 25 the next item, Director.

DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Subsection H is SJCC JTA
 BPOT No. 48, Exhibit H, Certification Nos. 21-0194-P
 through 21-0207-P.

A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Director, for your presentation of subsection H. I'll now entertain a motion to pass.

7 MR. TEDROW: Mr. Chairman, this is Rick 8 Tedrow. At this time I would move a do pass for 9 subsection H of the law enforcement officer 10 certifications as reported.

11 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Board Member12 Tedrow. Is there a second to his motion?

13 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Hollie Anderson. I'll 14 second.

A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you. There is a motion and a second to do pass subsection H. Can we have a roll call vote.

18 MS. MEDRANO: Balderas.

19 A.G. BALDERAS: Yes.

20 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow.

21 MR. TEDROW: Yes.

22 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule.

23 CHIEF GURULE: Yes.

24 MS. MEDRANO: Mendoza.

25 SHERIFF MENDOZA: Yes.

1 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 2 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 3 MS. MEDRANO: Monahan. 4 MS. MONAHAN: Yes. 5 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 6 DR. GREEN: Yes. 7 A.G. BALDERAS: The result of the roll call vote was a do pass of subsection H. Director. 8 9 DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Subsection I, WNMU LEA BPOT No. 66, Exhibit I, Certification No. 21-0157-P. 10 11 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Director. I'll 12 now entertain a motion to pass subsection I. 13 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Hollie Anderson. I move 14 to pass subsection I. 15 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Board Member 16 Anderson, for your motion to move passage of subsection I. Is there a second to her motion? 17 18 MR. TEDROW: Mr. Chairman, this is Rick 19 Tedrow. I'll second that motion. 20 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Board Member Tedrow. There was a motion by Board Member Anderson 21 22 and a second by Board Member Tedrow to move 23 subsection I. I'll now entertain a roll call vote. 24 MS. MEDRANO: Balderas. 25 A.G. BALDERAS: Yes.

1 MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chairman, this is 2 subsection I, right? 3 A.G. BALDERAS: Yes. Subsection I. Is that correct, Director Alzaharna? 4 5 DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Yes, sir. 6 MS. MEDRANO: Balderas. 7 A.G. BALDERAS: Yes. 8 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 9 MR. TEDROW: Yes. 10 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 11 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 12 MS. MEDRANO: Mendoza. 13 SHERIFF MENDOZA: Yes. MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 14 15 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 16 MS. MEDRANO: Monahan. MS. MONAHAN: Yes. 17 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 18 19 DR. GREEN: Yes. 20 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you. The result of the roll call vote is a do pass of subsection I. Are 21 22 there additional subsections, Director? 23 DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: No, sir. Not for item 24 No. 6. 25 A.G. BALDERAS: Great. Well, that concludes

1 item No. 6.

2 ITEM NO. 7: PUBLIC SAFETY TELECOMMUNICATOR CERTIFICATIONS FOR APPROVAL AND ISSUE 3 A.G. BALDERAS: We can now move to item 4 5 No. 7, public safety telecommunicator certifications. 6 DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Section A is NMLEA PST 7 No. 150, Exhibit A, Certification Nos. 21-0018-PST 8 through 21-0032-PST, 07-0015-PST, and 09-0125-PST. 9 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Director. I'll 10 now entertain a motion for subsection A ending in 11 09-0125-PST. Is there a motion? 12 CHIEF GURULE: I'll make a motion. This is 13 Naithan Gurule. 14 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Board Member 15 Gurule. Is there a second to Board Member Gurule's 16 motion? 17 SHERIFF MENDOZA: Sheriff Mendoza. I'll 18 second. 19 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Board Member 20 Mendoza. There is a motion and a second to move for passage of subsection A ending in 09-0125-PST. We'll 21 22 now entertain a roll call vote. 23 MS. MEDRANO: Balderas. 24 A.G. BALDERAS: Yes. 25 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow.

1 MR. TEDROW: Yes.

2 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule.

3 CHIEF GURULE: Yes.

4 MS. MEDRANO: Mendoza.

5 SHERIFF MENDOZA: Yes.

6 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson.

7 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes.

8 MS. MEDRANO: Monahan.

9 MS. MONAHAN: Yes.

10 MS. MEDRANO: Green.

11 DR. GREEN: Yes.

A.G. BALDERAS: Great. The result of that motion and second is a do pass. We can now move to the next subsection, Director.

DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Subsection B, CNM PSD No. 2, Exhibit B, Certification Nos. 21-0033-PST through 21-0039-PST, 93-0057-PST, 94-0013-PST, and 18 16-0040-PST.

A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you for that
presentation of subsection B. I'll now entertain a do
pass.

22 DR. GREEN: Mr. Chair, this is Bobbie Green. 23 I will make that motion.

A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Board MemberGreen, for your motion to do pass subsection B. Is

1 there a second to her motion?

2 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Hollie Anderson. 3 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Board Member Anderson. There is a second from Board Member 4 5 Anderson. There is a motion and a second to approve 6 subsection B. I'll now entertain a roll call vote. 7 MS. MEDRANO: Balderas. 8 A.G. BALDERAS: Yes. 9 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 10 MR. TEDROW: Yes. 11 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 12 13 MS. MEDRANO: Mendoza. 14 SHERIFF MENDOZA: Yes. 15 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 16 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 17 MS. MEDRANO: Monahan. MS. MONAHAN: Yes. 18 19 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 20 DR. GREEN: Yes. 21 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you for your 22 participation in the roll call vote. It appears that 23 there was a motion and a second, and the result is a 24 do pass of subsection B. 25 DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Subsection C is NMLEA

PST No. 151, Exhibit C, Certification Nos. 21-0040-PST 1 2 through 21-0059-PST, 02-0010-PR, and 16-0088-PS. 3 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Director, for the presentation of subsection C. I'll now entertain a 4 5 motion for a do pass. 6 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Hollie Anderson. I move 7 to accept subsection C. 8 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Board Member 9 Anderson. Is there a second to her motion? 10 CHIEF GURULE: Chief Gurule. I'll second. 11 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Board Member 12 Gurule. There is a motion and a second for a do pass 13 on subsection C. Roll call vote. MS. MEDRANO: Balderas. 14 15 A.G. BALDERAS: Yes. 16 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. MR. TEDROW: Yes. 17 18 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 19 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 20 MS. MEDRANO: Mendoza. SHERIFF MENDOZA: Yes. 21 22 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 23 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 24 MS. MEDRANO: Monahan. 25 MS. MONAHAN: Yes.

1 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 2 DR. GREEN: Yes. 3 A.G. BALDERAS: The result of the roll call 4 vote is a do pass of subsection C. Director. DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: That is the last 5 6 subsection for item 7. 7 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Director. We are 8 now done with public safety telecommunicator 9 certifications on item No. 7. 10 ITEM NO. 7: CREATE CURRICULUM AND TRAINING REFORM 11 SUBCOMMITTEE 12 A.G. BALDERAS: I would like to move us along 13 to item No. 8, the creation of a curriculum and 14 training reform subcommittee. 15 I will say both as the Chair and as your 16 colleague and more importantly in my observations in my other public capacity as Attorney General, there 17 has been a ton of work done on behalf of this Board. 18 19 Not only through your membership on this 20 Board, but I know that each and every one of you goes 21 into your respective communities and has an engaged 22 constituency in your respective professions. 23 And so I just want to take some note for the 24 record that I have had many conversations with all of 25 you on and surrounding the topics of curriculum and

1 training reform.

2 And so I just want to commend you first for 3 being really an earpiece for your communities. You all represent diverse backgrounds and diverse 4 5 constituencies. 6 And so I think your feedback along the way in 7 terms of your role and membership in this committee has been very valuable to me as the Chair and it's 8 9 been very valuable to the A.G. staff and also to 10 Director Alzaharna and the DPS staff. 11 And so I just want to give some credit for really stepping up and being first willing to serve in 12 13 this capacity; and number two, to really forward on 14 some of your thoughts and ideas on direction in trying 15 to improve the curriculum and the training. 16 As you know, many of you, there are also substantial roadblocks to implementing reform. One of 17 18 them is obviously agreeing and identifying. And I think the Director has done a great job today, kind of 19 20 laying out a framework where we can support greater specificity. 21 22 But clearly the communities in law 23 enforcement and civilian communities are, you know, 24 very concerned. And so you do deserve a lot of credit 25 for being the earpieces to listen and really trying to

1 formulate measurable specific improvements in the 2 state.

I will say as Attorney General, not only as the chief law enforcement officer, but the chief legal officer, there is a reason why I abstain from the discipline portion of your Board mission and at times Secretary Johnson has to; because sometimes we have to engage in criminal investigations and criminal prosecutions.

10 And so we abstain and defer to your 11 leadership on the discipline portion, much of which 12 are difficult cases. But you deserve credit for being 13 a very important spoke of accountability in that 14 wheelhouse.

And then I will also note as an elected official -- I will also take note to give the staff credit -- there are a couple deficiencies I would like to identify and share with you. And also I hope we have members of the press corps here and also other State agency participation in your dialogue and discussion of what you're dealing with.

The first is that the New Mexico Legislature for years has never addressed jurisdictional targeted authorities in many decades. And I will say this because I'm duly elected by my constituents.

In many ways all of these ideas and solutions
 are severely hampered when there are not clear
 jurisdictional roles among the Board, among the LEAB
 Director staff, in two areas.

5 Number one, the Director has some limited 6 authority, not a lot of authority, to influence the 7 curriculum and the uniformity. So I share this with 8 my members of the press corps, my concerned citizens, 9 and most importantly lawmakers.

10 As the chief legal officer, this 11 jurisdictional mission has been neglected for many, 12 many years. We're operating in an antiquated 13 authority system. And I just identified one that 14 severely hampers our ability to discipline and train 15 officers.

The first is the fact that I'm the Chair and Secretary Johnson has to serve on the policymaking side of this Board I think is effective, but we have to safeguard our official roles; because I have to be available to prosecute criminally law enforcement officers.

22 So there is a severe deficiency when we talk 23 about law enforcement reform, curriculum, safety for 24 community, and safety for officers that we lose in the 25 field.

I will tell you that we're operating under a legal system that is severely confusing and antiquated and really needs to be a priority of the New Mexico Legislature, to clarify roles so that ultimately we can train and graduate officers so that we have enough officers in our community.

7 We are in a crime epidemic and wave and we 8 have a shortage of officers. And so to come here to 9 the Board and expect miracles, even from my lawmaker 10 colleagues, we are operating under a system that they 11 need to take a look at.

12 So how we can recalibrate the Board, 13 recalibrate our academies, but more importantly work 14 better with law enforcement and community leaders to 15 really re-create a legal system that puts out the best 16 trained officers in the world; but also deals with the capacity shortages and all the new mental health and 17 18 other community challenges that law enforcement and 19 the judicial system are facing.

The fact that I have to abstain from discipline, the fact that the Legislature asks the A.G. to chair this Board and asks me to indict and charge officers creates an internal conflict that should have never been passed by the New Mexico Legislature in the first place.

1 So I'm glad that the Director is really 2 creating a framework. But I'm also hoping that the 3 Legislature will recalibrate specific and direct roles 4 so that we can all assist and that we don't have our 5 hands tied when it comes to imposing authority.

6 There was a severe backlog in discipline 7 cases. Yet this Board and I would say the staff does 8 not have the direct authority to tell police chiefs 9 and sheriffs' departments when and how to get their 10 timely certifications in.

11 There's no accountability. And I blame the 12 Legislature for the lack of teeth in some of these 13 challenging issues where we need direct authority.

14 The second deficiency, of course, is the lack 15 of resources. They severely underfund the training, 16 curriculum, and discipline approaches.

I completely fund the discipline process.
When those cases go from the Board over to the A.G.
for enforcement, it's the A.G's Office that, without
any supplemental funding from the Legislature, is
required to prosecute that backlog.

Now, that's not all doom and gloom. I do want to report back to this Board and to the Director that I saw substantial movement from the Legislature to finally look at the authorities and the goals of

1 this Board and the respective agencies that are 2 involved in it. And I finally saw in this session 3 them attempt to fully fund the curriculum and the 4 discipline of officers in our communities.

5 But guess what. The bill eventually then 6 died. And they cut out the funding that would have 7 been earmarked for not only the LEAB, but to the A.G's 8 Office for timely prosecutions of the civil licensure 9 process.

10 So I just give you that update, that I want 11 you to know as Chair that I safeguard your role. I 12 really respect your contribution. But I'll also 13 defend when I think some of the critique is unfairly 14 directed at problems rather than why they have 15 struggled to pass real solutions.

And the two that I have identified are that anybody that cares about our community, where is the funding to really enforce discipline cases and where is the funding really to deliver a world-class curriculum.

21 We want more hours. We want more topics. 22 But it is embarrassing that this service and these 23 issues are severely at the bottom of the State general 24 fund budget.

25

And I'm glad to see that the LFC is on here,

because I do think we're going to need the help of the Legislature and the help of our fiscal oversight agencies to really invest in these functions.

Yes. They are antiquated. But they're not antiquated because of hard-serving public service Board Members. They are antiquated because they have been severely underfunded. And we have never modernized the legal system in which you're asked to certify, train, and discipline officers.

10 No wonder we have a backlog. It's because of 11 those other deficiencies. And no one has really hit 12 the nail on the head.

And so I take it both personally and professionally when we lose an officer in the field or we have agencies who have a breakdown in community support. And I also take note in honoring all the activists and families who have lost loved ones.

18 The problem isn't that we lack 19 well-intentioned people on both sides. It's the fact 20 that there's been severe underfunding. And there's 21 been a lack of really committing to designing a legal 22 process that really supports world-class training and 23 world-class discipline.

And I just use that as an example for me, the fact that I have to indict an officer and I'm doing 1 the litigation on the criminal side. And so there's 2 accountability there.

But I have to abstain because this is the legal system and oversight system that they have created. And Secretary Johnson has to abstain from votes because he's also always called in to manage those law enforcement shootings.

8 And at the end of the day, we just want 9 timely investigations, timely accountability, and 10 transparency to our communities when there's a crisis; 11 any time a civilian or citizen is shot or an officer 12 is shot. I'm just suggesting that there are 13 limitations to just working on the curriculum, that 14 there are structural deficiencies.

15 And I'm really proud to report back to you 16 that, as I'm criticizing the apparatus, there was more political will and movement to really address those 17 18 systemic issues as well. And I think ultimately we 19 will be a stronger Board when we review those legal 20 responsibilities and, more importantly, when we fully fund your operation at DPS as well as the rest of the 21 22 academies.

And ultimately it has to be a budgetary priority to really get results where we have adequate officers in the field and trained in the way we

1 appreciate them to be trained, but also in the crime 2 wave.

The backlogs are no surprise considering that those areas have been neglected for decades. And it's going to take the will of the Legislature to really redesign and fully fund those.

And I will say, I was happy. The bill wasn't perfect. But we all had a bill that was actually moving forward and was a top priority for the Legislature and the Governor's Office. They just fell short.

But I want you all to keep your eye on the ball. In talking to your legislators and your community members, they really need to fund the LEAB staff. And they need to fund the prosecutorial enforcement of your decision-making.

17 So when you make a decision on officer 18 discipline, that's only the beginning. Then they kick 19 it over to the A.G's Office. And we have numerous 20 cases on that backlog that we are timely prosecuting.

But to this day the Legislature has never independently funded the enforcement and prosecution of the backlog of those certification discipline cases.

25 And so I'm hopeful. But without your

1 discussion and dialogue over the past couple years, we 2 would have never really begun that mission to say, you 3 know what, we need resources as well.

And so I do think there's movement. I'm optimistic hopefully that next year this Board and the role and the resources will be very, very different. I hope, I really hope, that they look at those authorities.

9 In that discussion or in that light, we're 10 going to do all we can within our authority, which 11 means I think I'm asking today if there would be 12 members willing to serve on a subcommittee to identify 13 streamlining opportunities, curriculum opportunities 14 to make the curriculum more consistent, and 15 modernizing training.

I would ask maybe three members if you're willing to want to serve on a subcommittee. I think that discussion and that feedback would be very helpful to Director Alzaharna's agenda to try to improve the academies and the service provided.

But I am also asking subcommittee members to serve because I think legislators need to hear from the subcommittee where it is that they can be helpful in addressing a backlog or addressing curriculum goals. 1 They should weigh in as well. And I think 2 the subcommittee could be very helpful in that so that 3 we really set a high standard for world-class training 4 and discipline.

5 Based on that, it's a committee that would 6 start its work maybe by the next meeting. You can 7 identify some priorities.

8 I just think it's important for this Board to 9 be able to tactically and strategically have some 10 influence over the bill that's being designed I think 11 currently in the Legislature. And also I think it's 12 important for the subcommittee to work with Director 13 Alzaharna to really quantify the level of resources 14 that are really needed for these processes.

I'm pleased to report to you that I engaged some legislators. And I asked for 250,000, which was a starting point, to process the enforcement of the discipline cases. That was taken out of the bill at the Senate Judiciary Committee.

20 And I believe in accountability going both 21 ways. I don't know why it was taken out. I thought 22 that was very irresponsible for the Senate Judiciary 23 to take out 250,000 that the sponsor and other 24 legislative committees had put in as a starting point. 25 And then there were substantial resources

1 that were left in for the LEAB administration and the 2 academies. And I thought that that was very 3 important. And I congratulate the Legislature for 4 that reform.

5 And then ultimately there was considerable 6 discussion in the bill on how you think the LEAB 7 should be designed, how the training board should be 8 designed. And I think these Board Members that are 9 serving today have a wealth of knowledge and 10 experience and should be involved in the legislative 11 process.

12 So if there are members of the press corps, I 13 hope they're listening. My position as Chair is that 14 it is extremely irresponsible for the Legislature to 15 redesign a committee and set levels of resources 16 without input and feedback from the Board Members, both public and law enforcement, who really have the 17 front-line bird's-eye view of where we can help 18 19 Director Alzaharna and our academies.

And so moving forward, these subcommittee members, I will be introducing them to key members of the Legislature, key members of the Senate and House Finance, because I do think that you should all have a seat at the table in this reform effort.

25 So I guess kind of my little message for the

subcommittee is kind of positive news. But I do want to be eyes wide open that at the last session I don't believe enough input was sought from the Board Membership and from some of the key staff that are on the front lines of these very difficult investigative and processing systems.

7 There was a lot of critique and questions 8 about why it was taking Director Alzaharna's staff so 9 long to instigate an investigation and even clarifying 10 what my office does.

We're the best litigators in the world. And I go and collect millions of dollars on behalf of the State against the best and most well-funded companies all over the world. I sue Google, I sue opiate companies.

But yet our prosecutorial function is not funded. And what allows me to be able to go litigate in other areas is my system on that side is different than the system is here.

20 So I don't have a limit on the membership on 21 the subcommittee. But I think you're one of the most 22 important small groups to civil rights reform, to law 23 enforcement safety issues, because I really think that 24 the priority of all of these issues has to start with 25 a legal design of how the bureaucracy is structured so 1 that it works well.

2	And secondly, we need a unified front to
3	really invest in these services. We probably spend
4	more money on processing drivers licenses than we do
5	processing officer law enforcement licenses.
6	And I want this subcommittee to be available
7	to the press corps, be available to the very smart
8	financial professionals at the LOC and the House
9	Appropriations and Senate Finance, because I think
10	that they will benefit well from your input.
11	So I'll shut up now. I just wanted to give
12	you a passionate, real personal reason that the
13	subcommittee is needed. And so I open the floor now
14	to members who are interested in maybe serving on this
15	subcommittee. But I think politically it's a very
16	historic opportunity for all of you to play a very
17	intricate role.
18	Revenues are up in the Legislature. So my
19	public policy position as the chief law enforcement
20	officer is there better be substantial investment and
21	substantial legal thought given to what the goals
22	should be. And I think the subcommittee should be a
23	very important driver of that conversation.
24	So I'll defer now to the subcommittee or

25 interested members in serving on that. Or if there

are any questions on what the subcommittee will do,
 I'll entertain any questions at this time.

I would rather you guys not make me appoint. Some of you, I know all your talents and I know some of your opinions.

6 Dr. Green, would you be interested in serving 7 on our little subcommittee?

8 DR. GREEN: Mr. Chair, I appreciate what you 9 are working towards and your goals and your vision. 10 And I share those goals and visions. And I was 11 sitting here listening and pondering how I could 12 assist.

I am an educator, I have developed curriculums for some of the biggest companies in the world, Microsoft and SAP, and university curriculums as well. So I have some background that I can maybe contribute and bring to the table.

18 And so yes, sir. I would be willing to serve 19 on the subcommittee. Thank you for your invitation. 20 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you. And I know that, 21 Sergeant Anderson, you've been pretty vocal about

22 requesting curriculum. You have obviously front-line23 experience as well.

And I think you would be a great asset to guiding Director Alzaharna and also engaging 1 respective lawmakers and finance professionals. If 2 you would be willing to serve on the subcommittee, I 3 would be honored to maybe have your voice as well.

4 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes, sir. I will accept 5 your request and participate.

A.G. BALDERAS: Great. And I do know folks are busy and stuff. But maybe a third. I don't want to appoint members. Maybe another member of the law enforcement community would be helpful.

10 CHIEF GURULE: Mr. Chairman, this is Chief 11 Gurule. I would like to be involved in that 12 subcommittee.

13 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you. So we have it 14 looks like three members of the subcommittee. It's a rolling invitation. If this thing picks up heat or 15 16 you see stuff in the press that interests you and your community, where you think curriculum is impacted or 17 18 resources on the disciplinary process, I leave that as 19 an open invitation for you all as we develop kind of 20 an agenda and a timeline and deliverables.

But I'm excited. I think the three of you will hone in on really creating some capital that I think the Legislature will be very welcoming of.

And I just think right now is the right opportunity and timing that I've never seen in my 15

years of auditing, investigating, and indicting actors
 that misuse power.

And this isn't limited to the law enforcement community. This is me taking out corrupt elected officials, corrupt educators, members of our religious community. I mean anyone who abuses power.

7 One of the things that I have not seen is the 8 political will and opportunity to fully fund and fully 9 invest in these processes. And I think the LEAB is at 10 the forefront of the minds of very concerned lawmakers 11 about the breakdown between the law enforcement 12 community and our civilian community.

And it breaks my heart that for many years the political mantra was you pick a side and you try to create reform on the other side.

And I think what I've seen in the Legislature This last year, as they struggled with this bill, is there were very beginning questions about, well, what does that Board even do. And yet these are lawmakers that have been passing laws for 25 or 30 years.

And that was a good question, because that was an honest question. And then there was a second type of approach where they said, well, what is it that you really need. And I'm thinking how do you not know what we need. And so those conversations were very honest. I will say they were not friendly. Everybody is struggling with these issues. But I don't want you all as leaders to say, well, we have to pick a side. And so I think there was real political opportunity for folks to try to solve some of these systemic problems.

8 And what I love about the press corps is it 9 went from a real engagement of chasing the scandal or 10 tracing the police shooting and covering that story to 11 the press corps starting to cover boards like this.

For many years this Board has played a role. But it should not also be blamed as one of the drivers of that breakdown between law enforcement and the community.

16 So there was a lot of policy discussion. I 17 just want to make sure the subcommittee is given a 18 vehicle or a chair to sit at the table.

19 And I open it up to the rest of the members. 20 If you see something that's being debated in the 21 Legislature, you can join the subcommittee. And I 22 think we can really try to reform holistically the 23 entire system. And that's where I'm most excited. 24 I do think the LEAB, even though they 25 couldn't get a bill out last year, finally you were at 1 the front of those committee discussions. People were 2 really struggling, fighting, and trying to pass a bill 3 that was good.

But ultimately they were just trying to address some issues that I don't think were at the forefront. And they have a lot of other demands.

But I'm excited with our three subcommittee members. And I do think it will be a two-pronged approach. We will address some of the internal issues with Director Alzaharna. But I also think that looking at the statutes and the funding is going to be critical.

13 So with that I will take us out of item14 No. 8.

MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chair, this is Counselor Romero. We did have some volunteers. But maybe to perfect the record, we can go ahead and do a vote on the three nominations.

A.G. BALDERAS: Yeah. I will entertain a motion to appoint and approve a subcommittee that will be available to, consistent with the LEAB role, provide policy guidance to Director Alzaharna on issues of curriculum and discipline; but also be available as public appointed members to the LEAB; to be available to legislative financial staff who are very important and key to justifying proper funding levels; and also be available to very important key lawmakers and the legislative body to share what it is that you think are appropriate funding resource levels.

And also to share problems like the problem that I was suggesting to you, that when officers let their certification go or officers require discipline, there is no statutory mandate that grants us the authority to intervene on those discipline cases; in other words, we're only as good as the referrals and the resources that we receive.

And I think this subcommittee with these three members, Dr. Green, Board Member Gurule, and Board Member Anderson, will be available to provide guidance and insight on addressing some of those systemic issues and curriculum and discipline.

Of course, to our Board Members, we will fully staff you all. And we'll be available for other conversations from other Board Members as well. But I'll entertain a motion to appoint and approve that subcommittee. I think I just need a motion and a second to that. Board Member Tedrow.

24 MR. TEDROW: Yes, Mr. Chair. I so move the 25 appointment of the three people nominated for the

1 subcommittee.

2 A.G. BALDERAS: Thank you, Board Member 3 Tedrow. Is there a second to his motion to approve the subcommittee? 4 I will second that motion to approve the 5 6 subcommittee. Let's do a roll call vote. 7 MS. MEDRANO: Balderas. 8 A.G. BALDERAS: Yes. 9 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 10 MR. TEDROW: Yes. MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 11 12 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 13 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 14 (No response.) 15 MS. MEDRANO: Mendoza. SHERIFF MENDOZA: Yes. 16 17 MS. MEDRANO: Garcia. (No response.) 18 19 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 20 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 21 MS. MEDRANO: Monahan. 22 MS. MONAHAN: Yes. MS. MEDRANO: Green. 23 DR. GREEN: Yes. 24 25 A.G. BALDERAS: Excellent. We have a motion 1 and second to approve the subcommittee.

2 Congratulations. I'm excited for the body of work
3 that you're about to engage in.

Counselor Romero or Director, are we at the point that we can take a ten-minute break or do we want to blast through the next item?

7 MR. ROMERO: Yes, Mr. Chair. I think we're 8 at the break portion of the agenda.

9 A.G. BALDERAS: Excellent. And just before 10 we go, more of a housekeeping update. I want to 11 congratulate one of our colleagues.

Joe Dworak has provided legal leadership to this Board. He's going on to be a bigwig at Regulation and Licensing.

And I do want to welcome our new legalcounsel. We appreciate you keeping us aligned here.

But I did want to wish him well. I think he might be on the call. He's being tapped to address some real important issues at Regulation and Licensing. So I commend him and welcome our new counselor.

22 But we can take a break now for about ten 23 minutes. Thank you.

24 (Break.)

25 DR. GREEN: Good morning. Am I supposed to

do this next section? I thought there was a request 1 2 by Zachary Garcia for reinstatement. 3 MR. ROMERO: Yes, Madam Vice Chair. Since it's part of discipline, I think it was on the script 4 5 that we sent you. 6 DR. GREEN: I have Anthony Madrid on the 7 script. 8 MR. ROMERO: Yes. So the PDF was also 9 included. 10 DR. GREEN: Okay. My apologies. Let me go 11 and find that. 12 I have it here. Thank you. I apologize for 13 the delay. 14 ITEM NO. 9: REQUEST BY ZACHARY GARCIA FOR REINSTATEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT CERTIFICATION 15 16 DR. GREEN: The next item on the agenda is a request by Zachary Garcia for reinstatement of his law 17 enforcement certification. 18 At this time the Vice Chair recognizes 19 20 Mr. Garcia. Are you here, sir? 21 MR. GARCIA: Yes, ma'am, I am. 22 DR. GREEN: Please proceed. 23 MR. GARCIA: Okay. First of all, I would 24 like to say hello to all Members of the Board, 25 Ms. Vice Chair, Director Alzaharna. And I'd like to

1 thank you all for allowing me this time to request 2 this petition.

I do have to apologize first. I have a lot going on right now. I lost my -- I currently lost my son to suicide. And so I'm out of the state now dealing with that.

So my mind is all over the place. However, I
just got this notification for the meeting yesterday.
So I apologize. I am not fully prepared for this at
this current time.

But I am requesting the reinstatement of my law enforcement certification. It's been a long time. And I've been trying to get this certification back so that I can pursue my career that I've had the last 19 years.

And I don't really quite know the process or the way this works. But I am requesting it. And I'm asking you please, reinstate my law enforcement certification.

DR. GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Garcia. The Board will take your request under advisement. We are sorry for your loss.

23 MR. GARCIA: Thank you.

24 DR. GREEN: So at this time I move that we go 25 into closed executive session.

1 MR. ROMERO: Madam Chair, I believe now is 2 the time for the other script that you had teed up 3 there.

DR. GREEN: Okay. I see item 10 now. Yes, sir. My apologies. The script is here. I apologize for that.

7 ITEM NO. 10: ANTHONY MADRID 8 DR. GREEN: So let me go to the next matter 9 on the agenda which is Anthony Madrid, Certification 10 No. 12-0294-P. He seeks to address the Board 11 regarding a possible immediate suspension pursuant to 12 10.29.1.11B(1) NMAC.

The Director, upon being notified that a certified police officer or telecommunicator has been arrested or indicted on any felony charges, shall immediately notify the individual of the intent to suspend the certification.

Pursuant to the Board rules, upon receipt of notice, the certified police officer may request to be heard at the next meeting of the Board to present any evidence, witnesses, and arguments as to why their certification should not be suspended.

The formal rules of evidence do not apply to this hearing. But the Board does reserve the right to exclude evidence that is incompetent, irrelevant, or 1 unduly cumulative.

2 Testimony shall be taken under oath. And 3 hearsay evidence may be considered and given its due weight. The hearing will be conducted in the 4 5 following manner. 6 First, I will ask the Administrative 7 Prosecutor to identify the felony charges on which the Respondent has been arrested or indicted and to 8 9 present any necessary supporting documentation as to the facts of the arrest or indictment. 10 11 Next, the Respondent may present any 12 evidence, witnesses, and arguments as to why their 13 certification should not be suspended. I will then 14 permit the Administrative Prosecutor to give a brief 15 argument in response, if he should choose to do so. 16 This hearing is being held virtually due to the COVID-19 state of emergency and the executive 17 18 orders mandating social distancing. 19 Although this hearing is being recorded and 20 will be later transcribed, so that we can keep an 21 accurate record, I am issuing the following 22 instructions. Most importantly, please speak slowly 23 and enunciate clearly so that the court reporter can 24 hear you. 25 Before speaking please state your name. Ιn

general please be respectful and mindful of the
 recordings at all times during the hearing.

Will the Administrative Prosecutor pleaseenter your appearance for the record.

5 MR. DWORAK: Good morning, Madam Vice Chair 6 and Members of the Board. My name is Joseph Dworak. 7 And with me is one of the Board's Administrative 8 Prosecutors, Erin Lecocq. Would you like me to 9 proceed?

DR. GREEN: Will the Respondent please enter your appearance for the record. Is the Respondent present?

MR. GALLEGOS: Yes. Good morning, Madam Vice Chair. My name is Chico Gallegos. I am an attorney in Las Vegas representing Mr. Madrid. Mr. Madrid is also present, appearing by video.

DR. GREEN: Thank you. Before we hear from the officer, will the Administrative Prosecutor please state for the record what felony charges the Respondent has been arrested or indicted on and present any supporting documentation.

22 MR. DWORAK: Yes, Madam Vice Chair. Thank 23 you again. I'll start off by just reiterating that 24 the purpose of this hearing today is limited by the 25 Board's rules. And my role is simply to provide a

summary of the matter before the Board as it relates
 to a felony charge or arrest.

The Board's rules, Part 10.29.1.11B(1), states that, "The director upon being notified that a certified police officer has been arrested or indicted on any felony charge(s) shall immediately notify the individual of the intent to suspend the

8 certification."

9 The procedures set forth in the Board's 10 normal disciplinary process, which is Subpart 12, 11 shall not apply to the immediate suspension. "Notice 12 of the immediate suspension shall be served on the 13 officer." And upon service they will have 15 days to 14 request to be heard, which is what they're doing 15 today.

16 "At the meeting, the individual may present 17 evidence, witnesses and argument as to why their 18 certification should not be suspended. The board may 19 deliberate and shall issue a decision."

The summary of the evidence is that on May 16, 2021, Respondent Anthony Madrid was arrested after an alleged altercation with his girlfriend at a hotel in Santa Fe.

24 Police were called to the hotel after 10:3025 p.m. in reference to disorderly conduct and arguing

1 among a group of people inside and outside of the 2 hotel room.

Respondent's girlfriend provided a statement that they had had a physical domestic dispute. And as a result of those statements and evidence of bruising on her arm, the officers at the scene later made contact with Respondent, arrested him, and booked him into the Santa Fe Detention Center.

9 Respondent was arrested on three charges. 10 The first was false imprisonment, which is a violation 11 of State Statute NMSA, Section 30-4-3, which is a 12 fourth-degree felony, as well as two misdemeanors 13 which include battery against a household member and 14 interference with communications.

15 Respondent's arrest for false imprisonment 16 which is a felony is grounds for the Board to 17 summarily suspend his certification under its rules. 18 Of course, this is if the Board chooses to do so, 19 after providing Respondent the opportunity to argue 20 against such suspension.

I would ask that the Board accept the disciplinary referral package provided by the Law Enforcement Academy into the record which includes four separate documents.

25 The first is the disciplinary referral form.

The second is a copy of the DPS Misconduct Report. 1 2 The third is a copy of the Criminal Complaint against Respondent. And the last is a copy of the 3 Respondent's LEA Training History Report. 4 5 And with the request that those be admitted 6 into the record, I conclude my portion of the 7 presentation and yield the floor to the Respondent for 8 his opportunity to address the Board. 9 DR. GREEN: Does the Respondent or his 10 counsel wish to state an objection? 11 MR. GALLEGOS: Yes. Madam Vice Chair, Members of the Board, this is Chico Gallegos again on 12 13 behalf of Mr. Madrid. We do not have any evidence or 14 testimony, simply an argument and some things for the Board to consider. 15 16 First of all, Mr. Madrid has entered a not guilty plea. This matter is before the Santa Fe 17 18 Magistrate Court. We are scheduled for a preliminary 19 hearing on June 29. I can also advice the Board that 20 initial plea negotiations and offers --21 MR. ROMERO: I'm sorry. Mr. Gallegos, this 22 is Counselor Romero here. Dr. Green, I'm not sure.

23 Is this still part of the objection to the

24 Administrative Prosecutor's request to enter those

25 exhibits into the record?

1 DR. GREEN: Yes, sir. 2 MR. GALLEGOS: I apologize. I assumed that 3 we were on the -- I don't have any objection. Those documents I believe speak for themselves and there are 4 5 no grounds to object to those admissions. 6 DR. GREEN: Okay. I might not have made that 7 clear. My apologies. Administrative Prosecutor, do you have anything else at this time? 8 9 MR. DWORAK: No, ma'am. That concludes our 10 presentation. Thank you. 11 DR. GREEN: Okay. Thank you, sir. 12 (State Exhibit No. 1 admitted.) 13 DR. GREEN: The Respondent may now present 14 your case and any evidence, witnesses, or arguments as 15 to why your certification should not be suspended. 16 You do have the right to remain silent or testify on your behalf. 17 If you choose to testify on your behalf, 18 19 anything you say can be used against you in your 20 pending criminal case. 21 MR. GALLEGOS: Yes. Thank you, Madam Vice 22 Chair, Members of the Board. I'll just go ahead and 23 start over. 24 There will be no testimony or evidence 25 presented at this point. There is still the criminal

1 matter that's pending. Officer Madrid has entered a
2 not guilty plea in that case.

The preliminary hearing is scheduled for June 29. I can advise the Board that even the preliminary plea negotiations and offers have included the elimination of the felony count. We are also looking at a dismissal of the charges altogether based on the agreement that -- the details of that have yet to be worked out.

I will also advise the Board that Mr. Madrid is currently employed here in San Miguel County with the District Attorney's Office. It was, in fact, the District Attorney, because of the mandatory reporting requirement, who reported the incident and the arrest to the Board.

But I can also tell you that I've been in contact with the District Attorney. And he is keeping Mr. Madrid employed. He is working in a limited capacity until the outcome of this hearing. But it would be important for Mr. Madrid's employment for him to maintain his certification.

I can also advise the Members of the Board that I'm going on my 26th year of law practice primarily in the area of criminal defense. So part of my job is to test the credibility and the quality of

1 the work of police officers.

2	I've been doing that for almost 26 years now.
3	And I've known Mr. Madrid for a significant period of
4	time. I do have the luxury and the privilege of
5	choosing officers that I do represent.
6	And I do represent in spite of my role as
7	a criminal defense attorney, I have represented
8	several officers in a variety of cases including
9	criminal, including family law, and things of that
10	sort.
11	Mr. Madrid is a quality police officer. I
12	have personally observed him in action. He's
13	honorable, he follows the rules. I believe that a
14	suspension would not serve any legitimate purpose at
15	this point in time. And I believe that we will have a
16	resolution to the criminal matter very soon.
17	We also intend, depending on what the Board
18	chooses in terms of any further discipline, to avail
19	ourselves of any due process in that regard.
20	But I can vouch for Mr. Madrid as an officer,
21	as a human being. On a personal note, when Mr. Madrid
22	was a police officer in Santa Fe, I have an adult son
23	who struggles with mental health and heroin addiction.
24	And Mr. Madrid, as an officer in his official
25	capacity, has helped my son often in terms of very

1 compassionately getting him food at times, delivering 2 winter clothes that I have left for him. And so I 3 think that speaks to his character.

4 I do understand the objective of these types 5 of charges in the climate, both political and 6 otherwise, that we're in now. But I believe that 7 Mr. Madrid is deserving of deference from the Board 8 due to his reputation and his good history and holding 9 off on the suspension until we can work through the 10 criminal case which I think is going to be resolved 11 very soon.

DR. GREEN: Thank you, Counselor. Are there any remaining exhibits or pieces of evidence that you wish to enter into the record?

MR. GALLEGOS: Not at this time, Madam ViceChair.

17 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Counselor.

18 Administrative Prosecutor, do you wish to give a brief 19 respond in response to Respondent's case?

20 MR. DWORAK: Madam Vice Chair, I do not. And 21 we would rest at this time and have nothing else to 22 present to the Board.

DR. GREEN: Thank you to both parties for your presentations today. The Board will now deliberate on your case and issue a decision as to the 1 possible summary suspension.

2 MR. GALLEGOS: Thank you. If I may, I do 3 have another matter, there are some folks waiting for me in another matter. If I can be excused at this 4 5 point. DR. GREEN: Yes, sir. Administrative 6 7 Prosecutor, do you have any issues or concerns with 8 that? 9 MR. DWORAK: I do not. 10 DR. GREEN: Thank you. 11 MR. GALLEGOS: Thank you, everyone. Have a 12 nice day. 13 DR. GREEN: Okay. Counselor Romero, is it 14 time for me to now move into closed session? MR. ROMERO: Yes, Madam Vice Chair. 15 16 DR. GREEN: Okay. Thank you for the clarification. 17 I now move that the Board go into closed 18 19 executive session to discuss only those matters listed 20 on the agenda under executive session pursuant to NMSA 1978 10-15-1(H)(1), (3), and (7). Do I have a second? 21 22 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Hollie Anderson. I move 23 we go into closed session. 24 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Sergeant Anderson. Ms. Medrano, can you please call the roll. 25

1	MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow.
2	MR. TEDROW: Yes.
3	MS. MEDRANO: Johnson.
4	CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am.
5	MS. MEDRANO: Mendoza.
6	SHERIFF MENDOZA: Yes.
7	MS. MEDRANO: Garcia.
8	(No response.)
9	MS. MEDRANO: Gurule.
10	CHIEF GURULE: Yes.
11	MS. MEDRANO: Anderson.
12	SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes.
13	MS. MEDRANO: Monahan.
14	MS. MONAHAN: Yes.
15	MS. MEDRANO: Green.
16	DR. GREEN: Yes. Thank you.
17	The motion carries. We will now move into
18	closed session. Counselor Romero, should we then
19	leave this session open for the audience or what
20	should we do?
21	MR. ROMERO: Sure thing, Madam Vice Chair. I
22	would defer to Monica on that. I don't know the
23	technicalities of leaving this open. Monica, is that
24	something that you can do? And then we can just enter
25	the executive session on the link that you sent out?

MS. MEDRANO: I believe so. I'm going to
 have the Director sign into the other one. I think
 she can allow people into the meeting. And I'll leave
 this one open on mine.
 DR. GREEN: Very good. So we will resume the
 open session after we complete our deliberations in

7 the closed session. Right now we will adjourn to the 8 closed session.

9 (Recess from 11:38 a.m. to 1:05 p.m.)

10 DR. GREEN: Counselor, are we ready to resume 11 then?

MR. ROMERO: Yes, Dr. Green. It looks like you do have a quorum. Let me just double-check the chat function. So we do have a quorum.

DR. GREEN: Thank you, sir. I will proceed. So we are going back into open session right now to discuss only those matters that we discussed during our closed session.

19 ITEM NO. 11: ZACHARY GARCIA 20 DR. GREEN: And so we will start with the 21 request for reinstatement by Zachary Garcia. Do I 22 have a motion?

CHIEF JOHNSON: This is Tim Johnson. I will
make a motion to uphold the original revocation.
DR. GREEN: Thank you, Chief Johnson. Do I

1 have a second?

2 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Hollie Anderson. I'll 3 second that. 4 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Sergeant Anderson. 5 Ms. Medrano, can you please call the roll. MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 6 7 MR. TEDROW: Yes. 8 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 9 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 10 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 11 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. 12 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 13 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. MS. MEDRANO: Green. 14 15 DR. GREEN: Green votes yes. And that motion 16 has passed. 17 ITEM NO. 12: ANTHONY MADRID DR. GREEN: Our next item is regarding 18 19 Anthony Madrid. Do I have a motion on Mr. Madrid? 20 CHIEF JOHNSON: Dr. Green, this is Chief 21 Johnson. I will make a motion to uphold the temporary 22 suspension. 23 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Chief Johnson. Do I 24 have a second? 25 MR. TEDROW: Madam Chair. This is Rick

1 Tedrow. I second.

2 DR. GREEN: DA Tedrow, thank you. 3 Ms. Medrano, can you please call the roll. 4 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. MR. TEDROW: Yes. 5 6 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 7 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 8 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 9 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. 10 MS. MEDRANO: Mendoza. 11 (No response.) MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 12 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 13 14 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 15 DR. GREEN: Green votes yes. 16 ITEM NO. 13: WILLIAM GONZALES DR. GREEN: Our next action is regarding 17 William Gonzales. Do I have a motion? 18 19 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes, ma'am. This is 20 Hollie Anderson. I move to accept the revocation. 21 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Sergeant Anderson. Do 22 I have a second? 23 CHIEF GURULE: This is Chief Gurule. I 24 second. 25 DR. GREEN: We have a motion and a second.

1 Ms. Medrano, please call the roll.

2 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 3 MR. TEDROW: Yes. MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 4 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 5 6 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 7 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. 8 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 9 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 10 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 11 DR. GREEN: Green has to abstain. But I 12 believe the motion carries. ITEM NO. 14: ANDREW SANCHEZ 13 14 DR. GREEN: We are moving to the motion regarding Andrew Sanchez. Do I have a motion? 15 16 CHIEF JOHNSON: Dr. Green, this is Tim 17 Johnson. I will make a motion to revoke the 18 certification of Andrew Sanchez. DR. GREEN: Thank you, Chief Johnson. Do I 19 20 have a second? 21 MR. TEDROW: Madam Chair, this is Rick 22 Tedrow. I will second that motion. 23 DR. GREEN: Thank you, DA Tedrow. Ms. Medrano, please call the roll. 24 25 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow.

1 MR. TEDROW: Yes.

2 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule.

3 CHIEF GURULE: Yes.

4 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson.

5 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am.

6 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson.

7 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes.

8 MS. MEDRANO: Green.

9 DR. GREEN: Green votes yes.

10 ITEM NO. 15: HEATH WHITE

DR. GREEN: All right. We are moving on to Heather White. Do I have a motion regarding Heather White?

SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes, ma'am. This is Hollie Anderson. I move to revoke.

16 DIRECTOR ALZAHARNA: Madam Chair, just for 17 the record, that is Heath White.

DR. GREEN: I'm sorry. It does say Heath.
Sorry. I'll make that correction. Do I have a motion
regarding Heath White? Thank you.

21 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes, ma'am. This is
22 Sergeant Hollie Anderson. And I will move to revoke.
23 DR. GREEN: Thank you. Do I have a second?
24 MR. TEDROW: Madam Chair, this is DA Tedrow.
25 I'll second.

1 MS. MEDRANO: Ms. Medrano, please call the 2 roll. 3 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. MR. TEDROW: Yes. 4 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 5 6 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 7 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 8 CHIEF JOHNSON: Abstain. 9 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 10 11 MS. MEDRANO: Green. DR. GREEN: Green votes yes. 12 13 ITEM NO 16: JOSHUA GORDON 14 DR. GREEN: All right. Regarding Joshua 15 Gordon, do I have a motion regarding Joshua Gordon? 16 CHIEF JOHNSON: Dr. Green, this is Tim Johnson. I will make a motion to revoke the 17 certification of Joshua Gordon. 18 19 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Chief. Do I have a 20 second? 21 CHIEF GURULE: This is Chief Gurule. I'll 22 second. 23 DR. GREEN: Thank you. Ms. Medrano, please 24 call the roll. 25 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow.

1 MR. TEDROW: Yes. 2 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 3 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 4 5 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. 6 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 7 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 8 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 9 DR. GREEN: Green votes yes. ITEM NO. 17: KEVIN HALL 10 11 DR. GREEN: Moving on to the motion regarding Kevin Hall, do I have a motion? 12 13 CHIEF JOHNSON: Dr. Green, this is Tim Johnson. I'll make a motion to revoke the 14 15 certification of Kevin Hall. 16 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Chief. Do I have a 17 second? 18 MR. TEDROW: Madam Chair, Rick Tedrow. I 19 will second that motion. 20 DR. GREEN: Thank you, DA Tedrow. Ms. Medrano, please call the roll. 21 22 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 23 MR. TEDROW: Yes. 24 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 25 CHIEF GURULE: Yes.

1 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 2 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. 3 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 4 5 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 6 DR. GREEN: Green votes yes. Thank you. 7 ITEM NO. 18: DANIEL BALDING 8 DR. GREEN: Regarding Daniel Balding, do I 9 have a motion? 10 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes, ma'am, this is 11 Hollie Anderson. And I move to revoke. 12 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Sergeant Anderson. Do 13 I have a second? CHIEF JOHNSON: Dr. Green, this is Tim 14 15 Johnson. I will second that motion. 16 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Chief Johnson. Ms. Medrano, please. 17 18 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 19 MR. TEDROW: Yes. 20 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 21 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 22 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 23 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. 24 MS. MEDRANO: Garcia. 25 (No response.)

1 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 2 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 3 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 4 DR. GREEN: Green votes yes. Thank you. ITEM NO. PAUL SKOTCHDOPOLE 5 6 DR. GREEN: Regarding Paul Skotchdopole, do I 7 have a motion? 8 MR. TEDROW: Madam Chair, this is Rick 9 Tedrow. I will move to accept the recommendation of 10 the Director. 11 DR. GREEN: Thank you, DA Tedrow. Do I have 12 a second? 13 MR. ROMERO: And, DA Tedrow, was that to deny 14 the cert by waiver? 15 MR. TEDROW: Yes. It was a motion to deny. 16 CHIEF GURULE: This is Chief Gurule. I'll 17 second. 18 DR. GREEN: All right. We have a motion and 19 a second. Ms. Medrano, please call the roll. 20 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 21 MR. TEDROW: Yes. 22 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 23 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 24 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 25 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am.

1 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 2 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Abstain. MS. MEDRANO: Green. 3 DR. GREEN: Yes. 4 ITEM NO. 20: ANTONIO GUTIERREZ 5 6 DR. GREEN: All right. We're moving on to 7 our next item on the agenda, Antonio Gutierrez. Do I 8 have a motion? 9 MR. TEDROW: Madam Chair, this is Rick 10 Tedrow. I would move at this time to accept the 11 Director's actions on this matter. I'm sorry. That 12 was Antonio Gutierrez. 13 DR. GREEN: Yes, sir. I have a motion. Do I 14 have a second? 15 MR. ROMERO: And, DA Tedrow, that was a 16 motion for a default; is that correct? 17 MR. TEDROW: Yes, correct. Default. DR. GREEN: Do I have a second? 18 19 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes, ma'am. It's Hollie 20 Anderson. And I second the motion for a default 21 revocation. 22 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Sergeant Anderson. 23 Ms. Medrano, please call the roll. 24 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 25 MR. TEDROW: Yes from Tedrow.

1 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 2 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 3 4 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. 5 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 6 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 7 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 8 DR. GREEN: Green votes yes. 9 ITEM NO. 21: THOMAS HOUSE 10 DR. GREEN: The next item on the agenda is 11 Thomas House. Do I have a motion regarding Thomas 12 House? 13 CHIEF JOHNSON: Dr. Green, this is Tim Johnson. I'll make a motion for a default revocation 14 for the certification of Thomas House. 15 16 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Chief Johnson. Do I have a second? 17 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes, ma'am. Hollie 18 19 Anderson. I second. 20 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Sergeant Anderson. 21 Ms. Medrano, please. 22 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 23 MR. TEDROW: Yes. 24 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 25 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am.

1 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 2 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 3 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 4 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 5 6 DR. GREEN: Green votes yes. 7 ITEM NO. 22: MICHAEL BURKOWSKI 8 DR. GREEN: The next item is Michael 9 Burkowski. Do I have a motion regarding Michael 10 Burkowski? 11 MR. TEDROW: Madam Chair, this is Rick 12 Tedrow. At this time I would move that this matter 13 proceed to an NCA hearing. 14 DR. GREEN: Motion to proceed to an NCA 15 hearing. Do I have a second? 16 CHIEF JOHNSON: Dr. Green, this is Tim Johnson. I will second that motion. 17 18 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Chief Johnson, DA Tedrow. Ms Medrano, please call the roll. 19 20 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. MR. TEDROW: Yes. 21 22 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 23 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 24 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 25 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am.

1 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 2 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 3 MS. MEDRANO: Green. DR. GREEN: Yes. 4 ITEM NO. 23: SKYLER McCLASKEY 5 DR. GREEN: All right. Then we're moving to 6 7 the next item which is Skyler McClaskey. Do I have a 8 motion regarding Skyler McClaskey? 9 CHIEF JOHNSON: Dr. Green, this is Tim Johnson. I will make a motion for a default 10 11 revocation for the certification of Skyler McClaskey. 12 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Chief Johnson. Do I 13 have a second. 14 CHIEF GURULE: This is Chief Gurule. I 15 second. 16 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Chief Gurule. Ms. Medrano, please. 17 18 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 19 MR. TEDROW: Yes. 20 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 21 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 22 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 23 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. 24 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 25 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Abstain.

1 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 2 DR. GREEN: Yes. Thank you. ITEM NO. 24: KRISTEN AUTRY 3 4 DR. GREEN: The next item is Kristen Autry. Do I have a motion on Kristen Autry? 5 6 CHIEF JOHNSON: Dr. Green, this is Tim Johnson. I will make a motion for a default 7 8 revocation of the certification of Kristen Autry. 9 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Chief Johnson. Do I 10 have a second? 11 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes, ma'am. Hollie 12 Anderson. I'll second. 13 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Sergeant Anderson. 14 Ms. Medrano, please call the roll. 15 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 16 MR. TEDROW: Yes. 17 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 18 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 19 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 20 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. 21 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 22 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 23 MS. MEDRANO: Green. DR. GREEN: Green votes yes. 24 11 25

1 ITEM NO. 25: JADE BENAVIDEZ 2 DR. GREEN: The next item on the agenda is 3 Jade Benavidez. Do I have a motion regarding Jade Benavidez? 4 MR. TEDROW: Madam Chair, this is Rick 5 Tedrow. At this time I would move to dismiss. 6 7 DR. GREEN: Thank you, DA Tedrow. Do I have 8 a second? 9 CHIEF JOHNSON: Dr. Green, this is Tim 10 Johnson. I will second that motion. 11 DR. GREEN: Thank you. We have a motion and a second. Ms. Medrano, please. 12 13 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. MR. TEDROW: Yes. 14 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 15 16 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 17 18 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. 19 MS. MEDRANO: Garcia. 20 (No response.) 21 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 22 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 23 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 24 DR. GREEN: Yes. 11 25

1 ITEM NO. 26: CHRISTOPHER BLAZER 2 DR. GREEN: The next item on the agenda is 3 Christopher Blazer. Do I have a motion regarding 4 Christopher Blazer? MR. TEDROW: Madam Chair, this is Rick 5 Tedrow. At this time I would move to dismiss. 6 7 DR. GREEN: Motion to dismiss by DA Tedrow. Thank you, DA Tedrow. Do I have a second? 8 9 CHIEF JOHNSON: Dr. Green, Tim Johnson. I'll 10 second that motion. 11 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Chief Johnson. 12 Ms. Medrano, please. 13 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 14 MR. TEDROW: Yes. 15 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 16 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 17 18 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. 19 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 20 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. MS. MEDRANO: Green. 21 22 DR. GREEN: Yes. ITEM NO. 27: JACOB PANDO 23 24 DR. GREEN: Our next item on the agenda is 25 Jacob Pando. Do I have a motion regarding Jacob

1 Pando?

2 CHIEF GURULE: This is Chief Gurule. I make 3 a motion that we accept the settlement agreement of a 90-day suspension. 4 5 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Chief Gurule. Do I 6 have a second? 7 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes, ma'am. Hollie Anderson. I'll second. 8 9 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Sergeant Anderson. 10 Ms. Medrano, please. 11 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. MR. TEDROW: Yes. 12 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 13 14 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 15 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 16 CHIEF JOHNSON: Abstain. MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 17 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 18 19 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 20 DR. GREEN: Green says yes. 21 ITEM NO. 29: RICKY ROMERO, JR. 22 DR. GREEN: Our next item is Ricky Romero, 23 Jr. Do I have a motion regarding Ricky Romero, Jr.? 24 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes, ma'am. I move to 25 revoke the certification. This is Hollie Anderson.

1 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Sergeant Anderson. Do 2 I have a second? MR. TEDROW: Madam Chair, this is Rick 3 Tedrow. I'll second that motion. 4 5 DR. GREEN: Thank you, DA Tedrow. We have a 6 motion and a second. Ms. Medrano, please. 7 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 8 MR. TEDROW: Yes. MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 9 10 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 11 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 12 CHIEF JOHNSON: Abstain. 13 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 14 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 15 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 16 DR. GREEN: Yes. 17 ITEM NO. 29: RICKY M. ROMERO 18 DR. GREEN: All right. Our next item is Ricky M. Romero. Do I have a motion regarding 19 20 Ricky M. Romero? 21 MR. TEDROW: Madam Chair, this is Rick 22 Tedrow. At this time I would move that Ricky M. 23 Romero, 17-046, be suspended for 120 days beginning 24 from this date. 25 DR. GREEN: Thank you, DA Tedrow. We have a

motion for suspension for 120 days. Do I have a 1 2 second? 3 CHIEF GURULE: This is Chief Gurule. I'll 4 second. 5 DR. GREEN: Chief Gurule, thank you. 6 Ms. Medrano, please. 7 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 8 MR. TEDROW: Yes. MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 9 10 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 11 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 12 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. 13 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 14 15 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 16 DR. GREEN: Yes. Thank you. 17 ITEM NO. 30: MATTHEW CHAVEZ DR. GREEN: The next item is Matthew Chavez. 18 19 Do I have a motion regarding Matthew Chavez? 20 MR. TEDROW: Madam Chair, this is Rick 21 Tedrow. At this time I'll move to dismiss. 22 DR. GREEN: Thank you, DA Tedrow. Do I have 23 a second? 24 CHIEF GURULE: This is Chief Gurule. Second. 25 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Chief Gurule.

Ms. Medrano, please do a roll call. 1 2 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 3 MR. TEDROW: Yes. MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 4 5 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 6 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 7 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. 8 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. SERGEANT ANDERSON: Abstain. 9 10 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 11 DR. GREEN: Yes. 12 ITEM NO. 31: MICHAEL SHUMATE 13 DR. GREEN: Next is Michael Shumate. Do I have a motion? 14 15 MR. TEDROW: Madam Chair, this is Rick 16 Tedrow. At this time I will move to dismiss. 17 MS. MEDRANO: Thank you, DA Tedrow. Do I 18 have a second? 19 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes, ma'am. Hollie 20 Anderson. I'll second the motion. 21 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Sergeant Anderson. 22 Ms. Medrano, please. 23 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 24 MR. TEDROW: Yes from Tedrow. 25 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule.

1 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 2 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson. 3 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. 4 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 5 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 6 7 DR. GREEN: Yes. 8 ITEM NO. 32: EDDIE WEBER 9 DR. GREEN: Our last item on the agenda is 10 Eddie Weber. Do I have a motion regarding Eddie 11 Weber? CHIEF JOHNSON: Dr. Green, this is Tim 12 13 Johnson. I'll make a motion for a default revocation 14 regarding the certification of Eddie Weber. 15 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Chief Johnson. Do I 16 have a second? 17 SERGEANT ANDERSON: Hollie Anderson. I'll 18 second. 19 DR. GREEN: Thank you, Sergeant Anderson. 20 Ms. Medrano, please. 21 MS. MEDRANO: Tedrow. 22 MR. TEDROW: Yes. 23 MS. MEDRANO: Gurule. 24 CHIEF GURULE: Yes. 25 MS. MEDRANO: Johnson.

1 CHIEF JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. 2 MS. MEDRANO: Anderson. SERGEANT ANDERSON: Yes. 3 4 MS. MEDRANO: Green. 5 DR. GREEN: Green votes yes. 6 That concludes all of the items on our agenda 7 that needed attention today. 8 ITEM NO. 33: NEXT SCHEDULED MEETING 9 DR. GREEN: The next meeting is scheduled for September 2, 2021. 10 ITEM NO. 34: ADJOURNMENT 11 12 DR. GREEN: At this time I will entertain a 13 motion to adjourn. SERGEANT ANDERSON: Hollie Anderson. I move 14 15 to adjourn the session. 16 DR. GREEN: Thank you. Any opposition? 17 (No response.) 18 DR. GREEN: The meeting is adjourned. Thank 19 you, all. 20 (The meeting adjourned at 1:27 p.m.) 21 22 23 24 25

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, JAN A. WILLIAMS, New Mexico CCR #14, DO
4	HEREBY CERTIFY that on June 24, 2021, the proceedings
5	in the above matter were taken before me, that I did
6	report in stenographic shorthand the proceedings set
7	forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and
8	correct transcription to the best of my ability.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	JAN A. WILLIAMS New Mexico CCR #14
22	License Expires: 12/31/21
23	
24	
25	

LEO Exhibit A – BCSO BPOT #42

Certification #	Name	Academy	Agency
21-0065-P	Araujo, Gustavo	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
21-0066-P	Boyle, Richard	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
21-0067-P	Hernandez, Anthony	BCSO #42	Valencia County
21-0068-P	King, Elija	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
21-0069-P	King, Philip	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
21-0070-P	Lamers, Benjamin	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
21-0071-P	Maestas, Jesus	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
21-0072-P	Maestas, Katherine	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
21-0073-P	McKenney, Garrett	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
21-0074-P	Mercado, Adasime	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
21-0075-Р	Montoya, Ricardo	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
21-0076-P	Myrick, Nicholas	BCSO #42	Valencia County SO
21-0077-P	Naas, Jeffrey	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
21-0078-P	Pacheco, Geoffrey	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
21-0079-P	Pinto, Eugena	BCSO #42	Rio Rancho PD
21-0080-P	Quintana, Jasmine	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
21-0081-P	Quintana, Joseph	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
21-0082-P	Roybal, James	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
21-0083-P	Roybal, John	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
21-0084-P	Sanchez-Mirabal, Joshua	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
21-0085-P	Schelle, Joshua	BCSO #42	Rio Rancho PD
21-0086-P	Shain, Kadin	BCSO #42	Belen PD
21-0087-P	Tharpe, Lesley	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
21-0088-P	Velasquez, Adrian	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
21-0089-P	Willis, Nathan	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO
14-0419-P	Walker, Hayden	BCSO #42	Bernalillo County SO

LEO Exhibit B – NMLEA CBW #103

Certification #	Name	Academy	Agency
21-0090-P	Emillio, Lorenzo	NMLEA CBW #103	10th Judicial District, DA's Office
21-0091-P	Headley, Trace	NMLEA CBW #103	Dona Ana County SO
21-0092-P	Hughes, Keith	NMLEA CBW #103	Catron County SO
21-0093-P	Lucero Jr., Joseph	NMLEA CBW #103	Isleta Tribal PD
21-0094-P	Lucero, Virgil	NMLEA CBW #103	Isleta Tribal PD
21-0095-P	May, Alvis	NMLEA CBW #103	Cimarron PD
21-0096-P	Pecos, Marlinda	NMLEA CBW #103	Jemez Pueblo PD
21-0097-P	Robles, Julian	NMLEA CBW #103	Dona Ana County SO
21-0098-P	Thornton, Timothy	NMLEA CBW #103	Hatch PD
10-0047-P	Galindo, Joseph	NMLEA CBW #103	Acoma PD
10-0029-P	Marte, Obed	NMLEA CBW #103	Dona Ana County SO
14-0065-P	Marte, Perri	NMLEA CBW #103	Dona Ana County SO
88-0173-P	Martinez, Joseph	NMLEA CBW #103	Jemez Pueblo PD
94-0007-P	Mascarenas, Donovan	NMLEA CBW #103	UNM PD
91-0022-P	McDonald, Jeffrey	NMLEA CBW #103	UNM PD
94-0292-P	Saavedra, Ronald	NMLEA CBW #103	Albuquerque Public Schools PD

.

LEO Exhibit C – NMLEA BPOT #201

Certification #	Name	Academy	Agency
21-0099-P	Arn, Derek Robert	NMLEA BPOT #201	Santa Fe PD
21-0100-P	Avitia -Jaquez, Jacqueline	NMLEA BPOT #201	Santa Fe County SO
21-0101-P	Blea, Ruben M	NMLEA BPOT #201	Santa Fe County SO
21-0102-P	Boyle, Amanda L	NMLEA BPOT #201	Santa Fe County SO
21-0103-P	Castillo, Erik M	NMLEA BPOT #201	Roswell PD
21-0104-P	Castro, Flor	NMLEA BPOT #201	NM Tax Fraud Inv.
21-0105-P	Cherne, Amanda D	NMLEA BPOT #201	Santa Fe PD
21-0106-P	Cobas, Aaron L	NMLEA BPOT #201	NM EMRD - State Parks
21-0107-P	Creager, Cody O	NMLEA BPOT #201	NM EMRD - State Parks
21-0108-P	Delgado, Shyanne	NMLEA BPOT #201	Otero County SO
21-0109-P	Diaz-Austin, Jacob Ryan	NMLEA BPOT #201	Otero County SO
21-0110-P	Fulkerson, Kurt D	NMLEA BPOT #201	Sierra County SO
21-0111-P	Garcia, Paul A	NMLEA BPOT #201	Luna County SO
21-0112-P	Glascock, Devin	NMLEA BPOT #201	Curry County SO
21-0113-P	Gonzales, Alfred	NMLEA BPOT #201	Taos County SO
21-0114-P	Gonzalez, Zoe E.	NMLEA BPOT #201	NM EMRD - State Parks
21-0115-P	Guzman-Sanchez, Anacaren	NMLEA BPOT #201	Santa Fe PD
21-0116-P	Gwinn, Taylor	NMLEA BPOT #201	Taos County SO
21-0117-P	Holland, Shiloh	NMLEA BPOT #201	Taos PD
21-0118-P	Huffmon, Herbert	NMLEA BPOT #201	Alamogordo PD
21-0119-P	Jimenez, Ulysses M.	NMLEA BPOT #201	Roswell PD
21-0120-P	Johnston, Bethany L	NMLEA BPOT #201	Roswell PD
21-0121-P	LeNeve, Dustin G	NMLEA BPOT #201	Taos PD
21-0122-P	Maes, Patrick I	NMLEA BPOT #201	Las Vegas PD
21-0123-P	Maestas, Paul A Jr.	NMLEA BPOT #201	Taos PD
21-0124-P	Martinez, Norma	NMLEA BPOT #201	Santa Fe PD
21-0125-P	Melendez, Fabian T	NMLEA BPOT #201	Roswell PD
21-0126-P	Murray, Marcus	NMLEA BPOT #201	Alamogordo PD
21-0127-P	Nez, Royce D	NMLEA BPOT #201	Santa Clara Tribal PD
21-0128-P	Olguin, Ryan S	NMLEA BPOT #201	Santa Rosa PD
21-0129-P	Pacheco, Matthew P.	NMLEA BPOT #201	Roswell PD
21-0130-P	Rivera-Suarez, Jose M	NMLEA BPOT #201	Santa Fe County SO
21-0131-P	Romero, Joey M	NMLEA BPOT #201	Mora County SO
21-0132-P	Romero, Mark A.	NMLEA BPOT #201	Colfax County SO
21-0133-P	Salazar, April E	NMLEA BPOT #201	Cibola County SO
21-0134-P	Solano, Jesse	NMLEA BPOT #201	Luna County SO
21-0135-P	Strandy, Matthew T	NMLEA BPOT #201	Gallup PD
21-0136-P	Tapia-Rivera, Robert K.	NMLEA BPOT #201	Mora County SO
21-0137-P	Thompson, Trevor	NMLEA BPOT #201	Alamogordo PD
21-0138-P	Trujillo, Anthony C.	NMLEA BPOT #201	Santa Fe PD
21-0139-P	Vigil, Anthony Joe	NMLEA BPOT #201	Santa Fe PD
21-0140-P	Wilson, Austin R	NMLEA BPOT #201	NM EMRD - State Parks

LEO Exhibit D – LEOCE Challenge

Certification #	Name	Academy	Agency
21-0141-P	Bittick, Earl	N/A	Hobbs PD
21-0142-P	Fields, Cavin	N/A	Hobbs PD

•

.

LEO Exhibit E – CNM BPOT #5

Certification #	Name	Academy	Agency
21-0143-P	Baca, Mathew R	CNM BPOT #5	Guadalupe County SO
21-0144-P	Bui, Thomas	CNM BPOT #5	Bernalillo PD
21-0146-P	Erives, Edgar	CNM BPOT #5	Valencia County SO
21-0147-P	Fitzgerald, Amber	CNM BPOT #5	UNM PD
21-0148-P	Lafave, Christopher	CNM BPOT #5	Valencia County SO
21-0150-P	Martinez, Michael	CNM BPOT #5	Bernalillo PD
21-0151-P	Maynes, Adriano P	CNM BPOT #5	Union County SO
21-0152-P	Pino, Andre	CNM BPOT #5	Bernalillo PD
21-0153-P	Quintana, Bianca	CNM BPOT #5	Los Lunas PD
21-0154-P	Skinner, Troy	CNM BPOT #5	Valencia County SO
21-0155-P	Torrez, Rudy C	CNM BPOT #5	UNM PD
21-0156-P	Townsend, Seth M	CNM BPOT #5	UNM PD
21-0145-P	Delacruz, Jose	CNM BPOT #5	Bernalillo PD
21-0156-P	Marquez, Heather	CNM BPOT #5	Pharmacy Board

,

•

Certification #	Name	Academy	Agency
21-0158-P	Avila, Ignacio	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Chaves County SO
21-0159-P	Beltz, Savanah L	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Clovis PD
21-0160-P	Carrillo, Lauren	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Hobbs PD
21-0161-P	Conklin, Benjamin D	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Chaves County SO
21-0162-P	De La Cerda, Nathaniel	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Chaves County SO
21-0163-P	Farley, Zachary	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Clovis PD
21-0164-P	Floyd, Eric L	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Carlsbad
21-0165-P	Francis, Ryan	SNMLEA BPOT #45	NM Department of Game & Fish
21-0166-P	Gatlin, Don	SNMLEA BPOT #45	NM Livestock Board
21-0167-P	Geary, Koy	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Portales PD
21-0168-P	Hayes, Matthew	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Lea County SO
21-0169-P	Hendren, Garrett M	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Carlsbad PD
21-0170-P	Herrera, Joshua	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Eddy County SO
21-0171-P	Kinninkin, Ryan	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Eddy County SO
21-0172-P	Martinez, Joshua	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Chaves County SO
21-0173-P	McKelvey, Joshua	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Chaves County SO
21-0174-P	Medina, Saul E	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Carlsbad PD
21-0175-P	Morales, Christopher	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Carlsbad PD
21-0176-P	Nevarez, Alejandro	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Portales PD
21-0177-P	Phipps, Dillon M	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Lea County SO
21-0178-P	Rennie, Steve G	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Carlsbad PD
21-0179-P	Ripley, Dillon	SNMLEA BPOT #45	
21-0180-P	Roye, Samuel D	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Lea County SO
21-0181-P	Sanchez, Kimberly A	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Chaves County SO
21-0182-P	Smith, Tiawan	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Hobbs PD
21-0183-P	Smotherman, Cody L	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Chaves County SO
21-0184-P	Stone, Cody	SNMLEA BPOT #45	
21-0185-P	Vargas, Robert	SNMLEA BPOT #45	Hobbs PD

•

LEO Exhibit G – LCPD BPOT #50

.

Certification #	Name	Academy	Agency
21-0186-P	Telles, Nathaniel M	LCPD BPOT #50	Las Cruces PD
21-0187-P	Rivera, Richard	LCPD BPOT #50	Las Cruces PD
21-0188-P	Chavez, Antonio E III	LCPD BPOT #50	Las Cruces PD
21-0189-P	Gomez, Alexis	LCPD BPOT #50	Las Cruces PD
21-0190-P	Rodriguez, Kebar	LCPD BPOT #50	Las Cruces PD
21-01 9 1-P	Salinasflores, Guadalupe	LCPD BPOT #50	Las Cruces PD
21-0192-P	Villarreal, Brandon P	LCPD BPOT #50	Las Cruces PD
21-0193-P	Tarin, Selena	LCPD BPOT #50	Las Cruces PD

•

LEO Exhibit H – SJCCJTA BPOT #48

Certification #	Name	Academy	Agency
21-0194-P	Nelson, Michael Q	SJCCJTA #48	San Juan County Sheriff's Office
21-0195-P	Pacheco, Joshua I	SJCCJTA #48	Farmington Police Department
21-0196-P	Thompson, Vincent J	SJCCJTA #48	Gallup Police Department
21-0197-P	Coriz, Jonathan C Jr.	SJCCJTA #48	Rio Arriba County Sheriff's Office
21-0198-P	Enoah, Nathan A	SJCCJTA #48	San Juan County Sheriff's Office
21-0199-P	Felix, Hansel R	SJCCJTA #48	Farmington Police Department
21-0200-P	Giron, Ernesto C.	SJCCJTA #48	Gallup Police Department
21-0201-P	Harper, Lucas I	SJCCJTA #48	Farmington Police Department
21-0202-Р	Harris, Joshua M	SJCCJTA #48	Aztec Police Department
21-0203-P	Patterson, Cedric L	SJCCJTA #48	Rio Arriba County Sheriff's Office
21-0204-P	Sanchez, Andres F.	SJCCJTA #48	Rio Arriba County Sheriff's Office
21-0205-P	Horne, Steven J	SJCCJTA #48	San Juan County Sheriff's Office
21-0206-P	Jordan, Lillyann	SJCCJTA #48	Farmington Police Department
21-0207-P	Marquez, Aaron B	SJCCJTA #48	Gallup Police Department
	······································	<u> </u>	

.

LEO Exhibit I – WNMU BPOT #66

Certification #	Name	Academy	Agency
21-0157-Р	Villa, Angel Arthur	WNMU BPOT #66	Deming PD

.

,

PST Exhibit A - NMLEA PST #150

Certification #	Name	Academy	Agency
21-0018-PST	Cachora, Rayfield A	NMLEA PST #150	NM DoIT
21-0019-PST	Callinan, Jennifer	NMLEA PST #150	Albuquerque PD
			Communications Division
21-0020-PST	Downs, Megan D	NMLEA PST #150	San Juan County
			Communications Authority
21-0021-PST	Finnigan, Mykela	NMLEA PST #150	Alamogordo PD
21-0022-PST	Fournier, Tarah	NMLEA PST #150	New Mexico State Police -
			CENCOM
21-0023-PST	Hernandez, Patrick	NMLEA PST #150	Albuquerque PD
			Communications Division
21-0024-PST	Jones, Mary L	NMLEA PST #150	San Juan County
			Communications Authority
21-0025-PST	Luna, Bianca M	NMLEA PST #150	San Juan County
			Communications Authority
21-0026-PST	Montoya, Ariana K	NMLEA PST #150	Jicarilla Apache Tribal PD
21-0027-PST	Moore, Larry D'Anthony	NMLEA PST #150	
21-0028-PST	Sides, Esperanza M	NMLEA PST #150	McKinley County Metro
			Dispatch Authority
21-0029-PST	Torres, Isabelle Seline	NMLEA PST #150	New Mexico State University
			PD
21-0030-PST	Tsoodle-Nelson, Teeyanee	NMLEA PST #150	Cibola Regional
			Communications Center
21-0031-PST	Valenzuela, Megan V	NMLEA PST #150	Albuquerque PD
			Communications Division
21-0032-PST	Webb, Keith C Jr.	NMLEA PST #150	Jicarilla Apache Tribal PD
07-0015-PST	Grijalva, Robert	NMLEA PST #150	Torrance County 911
			Dispatch Center
09-0125-PST	Madrid, Virginia Mae	NMLEA PST #150	New Mexico State Police -
			SOCOM

.

PST Exhibit B - CNM PSD #2

Certification #	Name	Academy	Agency
21-0033-PST	Burrows, Jennifer	CNM PSD #2	Sandoval County RECC
21-0034-PST	Carrillo, Allora G	CNM PSD #2	Sandoval County RECC
21-0035-PST	Davey, Annalee M	CNM PSD #2	Sandoval County RECC
21-0036-PST	Falcomata, Julianna Rose	CNM PSD #2	Bernalillo County
			Communications
21-0037-PST	Huntington, Stephanie	CNM PSD #2	Bernalillo County
			Communications
21-0038-PST	Madrid, Autumn N	CNM PSD #2	UNM PD
21-0039-PST	Solano, Derrick A	CNM PSD #2	Santa Fe RECC
93-0057-PST	Bibiano, Rita	CNM PSD #2	UNM PD
94-0013-PST	Henson, Betty	CNM PSD #2	Tucumcari Quay RECC
16-0040-PST	Pyers, Travis	CNM PSD #2	Bernalillo County
		·	Communications

PST Exhibit C - NMLEA PST #151

Certification #	Name	Academy	Agency		
21-0040-PST	Bazan, Karl	NMLEA PST #151	New Mexico State Police - NORCOM		
21-0041-PST	Camargo, Angelina B	NMLEA PST #151	Alamogordo Police Department		
21-0042-PST	Chappell, Mark K	NMLEA PST #151	New Mexico State Police - CENCOM		
21-0043-PST	Creech, Dayle Letta	NMLEA PST #151	McKinley County Metro Dispatch Authority		
21-0044-PST	DeWitt, Melissa	NMLEA PST #151	Catron County Sheriff's Office		
21-0045-PST	Dziurzynski, Gregory	NMLEA PST #151	Albuquergue Public Schools Police Department		
21-0046-PST	Gutierrez, Amy	NMLEA PST #151	Tatum Police Department		
21-0047-PST	Hornsby, Consuella E	NMLEA PST #151	Sierra County Regional Dispatch Authority		
21-0048-PST	Lopez, Serena	NMLEA PST #151	Luna County Central Dispatch		
21-0049-PST	Lucero, Angela	NMLEA PST #151	Luna County Central Dispatch		
21-0050-PST	Lucero, Bria	NMLEA PST #151	Albuquerque Police Department Communications Division		
21-0051-PST	Montoya, Tíana E	NMLEA PST #151	Albuquerque Police Department Communications Division		
21-0052-PST	Moran, Keegan P	NMLEA PST #151	Taos Central Communications Authority		
21-0053-PST	Ortiz-Gonzalez, Jessica M	NMLEA PST #151	Albuquerque Police Department Communications Division		
21-0054-PST	Romero, Artemisia	NMLEA PST #151	Hidalgo County Central Dispatch		
21-0055-PST	Romero, Jayden	NMLEA PST #151	Espanola / Rio Arriba 911 Dispatch		
21-0056-PST	Wall, Jessica L	NMLEA PST #151	Lincoln County Sheriff's Office		
21-0057-PST	Whitney, Eli K	NMLEA PST #151	Sierra County Regional Dispatch Authority		
21-0058-PST	Wilke, Skyla Faith	NMLEA PST #151	Los Alamos Police Department		
21-0059-PST	Yazzie, LaToya N	NMLEA PST #151	Ramah-Navajo Police Department		
02-0010-PR	Kelly, Kevin	NMLEA PST #151	Mesilla Valley Regional Dispatch Authority		
16-0088-PS	Karla, Sam	NMLEA PST #151	McKinley County Metro Dispatch Authority		

•



STATE OF NEW MEXICO OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL DISCIPLINARY REFERRAL

Agency Name: District Attorney's Office - 4th	Cas	e No.: 21-026	Date: 6/18/2021
Date Complaint Received by Agency: May 27, 2021	Statute of I	Limitations Expirat	tion Date: N/A
Agency Staff (completed by): Kelly Alzaharna	Telephone:	505-827-9262	Email: kelly.alzaharna@state.nm.us
Agency Member (for inquiries): Monica Medrano	Telephone:	505-827-9292	Email: monica.medrano@state.nm.us
Send NCA to: Monica Medrano	1F	505-827-9290	Email: monica. medrano@state.nm.us
Administrative Prosecutor:			
Hearing Clerk: Monica Medrano	Telephone:	505-827-9290	Email: Email: monica.medrano@state.nm.us
Respondent Name/Lic. No: Anthony Madrid 12-0294-P		Complainant Nam	e: ADA Thomas Clayton
Address: 2306 Anderson Dr., Las Vegas, NM 87701		Address: P.O. Box	2025, Las Vegas, NM 87701
Telephone: 505-490-5074	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (Telephone: 505-42	5-6746
Email:	naviation of the state of the s	Email:	
Expediting Circumstances (if any) - Threat to public safety: Unlicensed practice: Imminent expiration of statute of limitations: Application denial:			
1. 10.29.1.11.C(4) committing acts which indicate a lack	of good moral adversely af	al character or which	ING DOCUMENT(s) or WITNESS(es): a constitute dishonesty or fraud, and lity to exercise the duties of a police
Witnesses able to testify (include telephone number or email ad 1. Jaydah Ortega 3.	2		
Narrative Case Summary and Procedural History: May 16, 2021- Respondent arrested on felony charge; May 27, 202 sent; June 14, 2021 - Respondent requested hearing on NOIS		received LEA-90; Ju	nne 6, 2021 - Notice of Intent to Suspend
 Has a Pre-Referral Settlement been offered? □Yes ☑ No Repeat Violations? □Yes ☑ No We Special Considerations and/or Recommended Settlement Terms This is for Respondent's hearing in front of the NMLEA Board on J 	ould the Ages s:	ncy consider a Pre-	nplaints pending? Yes INo NCA Settlement? Yes INo tent to Suspend
Attachments Provided: 1. 21-026 Anthony Madrid NMLEA misconduct case file 3 5	4		
PLEASE ATTACH AE	DDITIONAL	PAGES AS NECE	SSARY EXHIBIT

PENGAD 800-6

New Mexico Department of Public Safety Law Enforcement Academy 4491 Certillos Road, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87507 (505) 827-9251(877) 237-7532 (NM Only) Fax: (505)	i) 827-3449 http://www.lea.nm.gov/
Submitting Agency: 4th Judicial & Date Submitted: 05-210-2021 Submitted by: Thomas A. Claut	
Additional Contact Information:	
Mofficer Information Name of Officer/Telecommunicator:	TELECOMMUNICATOR INFORMATION SS#: DOB;
DPS Certification Number: 12-0794 ff	Certification Date;
Home Address: 2306 Anderson Drive	Home Telephone No:
LV NM & 17() Is this officer/telecommunicator still employed by the	Cell Telephone No: $(505)496-5774$ e agency? $\forall Yes \Box No$
Can this officer/telecommunicator be contacted at the If yes, current assignment and contact phone numbe COMPLAIN?	
Date of Complaint	Agency Investigation completed?
Agency Primary Investigator:	
Print Name/title Contact information(Phone Number/email/business	(1)
Witness Information attached: Yes No Supporting Complaint Documentation/Investigation atta	ched: Yes No
SUMMARY OR NARRATI	IVE OF COMPLAINT/REPORT
	al sheets if necessary)
MAIL TO: DPS Law Enforcement Academy Attn: Director 4491 Cerrillos Road Santa Fe, NM 87507 (j	page 1 of 2)
Revised 01-7-19	LEA-90

LEA-90 27 MAY '21 AH11:32

SANTA FE SAN		A States	COMPLAINT CASE # 2021-004577
INTER MAGISTRATE COURT - V5 INTER COURT - V5 INTER MAGISTRATE COURT - V5 INTER MAGISTRATE COURT - V5 INTER COURT - V5		•	
VS SILIT	. •		MAGISTIATECOURT
		<i>. . . .</i>	STN # PB3
Addressor 2206 ANDERSON DR CD84aa.nr Advest Nr 2001-D04571 DAG JS Deduit in: SSA M. 254-92-1752 Dake Filed: Britelizozt In the GaustyCopy of SANTA FE/ SANTA Britelizozt NMSA 1978. Britelizozt NMSA 1978. Britelizozt NMSA 1978. Britelizozt NMSA 1978. Common Aneo of Dake Ad Otherse of Otherse and			Ariass Date: 05/16/2021
CHARTER LAS VEGAS NMI BYTOTODO CHARTER LAS VEGAS NMI BYTOTODO CHARTER LAS VEGAS Docket in: Docket in: Docket in: Statis of New Texas Docket in: Bitto In: Docket in: Statis of New Texas Docket in: Bitto In: Docket in: <			JUINE JUUNE
Double in <u>Dividing PERCENCE WITH COMBUNICATIONS</u> DOUTLY IN <u>Dividing PERCENCE WITH COMBUNICATIONS</u> DIVIDING PERCENCE DIVIDING DOUTLY AND WITH DIVIDING DOUTLY IN <u>DIVIDING PERCENCE</u> DIVIDING DOUTLY IN <u>DIVIDING PERCENCE</u> DIVIDING DOUTLY			
Haipe: 5.09" Weight: 150 Ibs Har: Brown - BRO Brown - BRO Weight: Haz Haz Brown - BRO The undersigned, under sonelly disperjuy, complains and says that on or shout 05/16/2021 In the County Classes State of New Mexico: the stove named Defendant(a) die: NAGA 1975. Charge Codie 2107 NAGA 1975. Crimer Uo Section 30:04:03 NAGA 1975. Crimer Uo Section 30:04:03 NAGA 1975. Crimer O Section 30:04:03 NAGA 1975. Caller O Section 30:04:03 NAGA 1975. Caller O Section 30:04:03 NAGA 1975. Caller O Section 19:04 NAGA 1976. Caller			
Hin: Brown - BRO Hards - HA2 Hards - HA2 Hazel - HA2 HAZE - HA2 HA2 HAZE - HA2 HAZE - HA2 HAZE - HA2 HAZE - HA2 HAZE - HA2 HA2 HAZE - HA2 HA2 HAZE - HA2 HA2 HA2 HA2 HA2 HA2 HA2 HA2			Dalo Filed: 05/18/2021
Even Hazel - HAZ The undersigned, under jonally dépending, compliaire and says that on origiout 05/16/2021 .in the comprise of second minut Défending and says that on origiout 05/16/2021 .in the comprise of second minut Défending and says that on origiout 05/16/2021 .in the comprise of second minut Défending and says that on origiout 05/16/2021 .in the comprise of second minut Défending and says that on origiout 05/16/2021 .in the comprise of second minut Défending and says that on origiout 05/16/2021 .in the comprise of second minut Défending and second minut Défending and Défending and Second minut Défending and Dé			•
The undersigned, under jemility of perjuly, completine and says Biel on orgibout 05/16/2021 . In the County-Only of SANTA, FEI SANTA State of New Mexico: the store immed Distandarity of dis: Contrary to Section <u>30-03-15</u>			.
Control Adam of Departs of Cleanese, Control Adam of Departs of Cleanese, Control Adam of Departs of Cleanese, Control Adam of	iarge Code	2107	
Charge Code 0127			
CRIME: FALSE (IMPRISONMENT Convonce of Oliverse of	initary lo Sécilon	30-04-03	NMSA.1976;
Columon neare of Otterfeet of Charles (1994) Control neare of Otterfeet of Charles (1994) Charles Code 1994 RIME: INTERFERENCE WITH COMBUNICATIONS Control neare of Otterfeet of Charles (1994) CRIMINAL COMPLAINT COUNT IN BATTERY ON A HOUSEHOLD MEMBER: THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT DID UNLAWFULLY, INTENTIONALLY TOUCH OR APPLY FORCE TO JAYDAH ORTEGA, A HOUSEHOLD MEMBER WHO IS A SEQUEL FORMER SPOLES, CO-PARENT OF A CHILD OR A PERSON WITH WHOM THE DEFENDANT HAR HAD A CONTINUING PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP. IN A RUDE, INFOLENTIONALLY TOUCH OR APPLY FORCE TO JAYDAH ORTEGA, A HOUSEHOLD MEMBER WHO IS A SEQUEL FORMER SPOLES, CO-PARENT OF A CHILD OR A PERSON WITH WHOM THE DEFENDANT HAR HAD A CONTINUING PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP. IN A RUDE, INFOLENT OR ANORY MAINER, CONTRACT TO MIREA 1978; SECTION 30-3-15 (2008). DOUNT IN INFORMED DEFENDANT OID KNOWINGLY AND WITHOUT LAWFUL AUTHORTY DISPLACE, REMOVE, INJURE OR DESTROY A TELEPHONE LINE, WIRE; CABLE, POLE OR CONDUIT BELONGING TO JAYDAH ORTEGA; OR THE MATERIAL OR PROPERTY APPLICIENT AND A DOUNT IN INFORMED DEFENDANT OID KNOWINGLY AND WITHOUT LAWFUL AUTHORTY DISPLACE, REMOVE, INJURE OR DESTROY A TELEPHONE LINE, WIRE; CABLE, POLE OR CONDUIT BELONGING TO JAYDAH ORTEGA; WOR THE MATERIAL OR PROPERTY APPLICIENT ON A DEFENDING LINE, WIRE; CABLE, POLE OR CONDUIT BELONGING TO JAYDAH ORTEGA; WICH COMENT THERE THE NOT RECOMMENT AND A DOWNED; POSSESS INTERDED BUCH FROM WITHOUT THE COMENT OF A SENDER OR INTENDED FOR INFERTIONE ON REPORT INTERDENCE DOWNING ATION OR REPORT TAR ON MARK ANY CONNECTION WITH A PELEPHONE LINE, WIRE; CABLE FOR INTERNOE DR JAYDAH ORTEGA, ON THE SENDER CHILD INTERDED FOR THE SENDER DOWNING ATION OR REPORT TAR ON MARK ANY CONNECTION OR COLUME DARY MESSAGE, DOMNING ATION OR REPORT TAR ON THE DEFENDANT THE COMENT OF A SENDER OR INTENDED ANY APPARATUS TO DO OR CAUSE TO BE DONE DATA AND AN THE ACTS HEREINBERORE MENTIONED OR THE ACTS HEREINBER ON THE ACTS HEREINBER ORE MENTIONED OR THE ACTS HEREINBER ORE MENTIONED OR THE ACTS HEREINBER ORE MENTIONED OR CAUSE TO B	arge Goda	0127	
Control name abite of Otterse of Charles (Control Control Contel Control Control Control Control Control Control Contr	enae:		
contrary to Section 30-22-01 NMISA 1978. change Code 1994.		<u>ralocientalovnimeni</u> nse.oronenses	
RIME: CRIMINIC COMPLAINT CRIMINAL COMPLAINT CRIMINAL COMPLAINT CRUMINAL CR		-	NM5A 1976.
Common name di Oliferig d'Oliferig d'Oliferi	large Čode	1994	
COUNT II: INTERFERENCE WITH COMMUNICATIONS THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT OD KNOWINGLY AND WITHOUT LAWFUL AUTHORITY DISPLACE, REMOVE, INJURE OR DESTROY A TELEPHONE LINE, WIRE, CABLE, POLE OR CONDUIT BELONGING TO JAYDAH OR TEGA; OR THE MATERIAL OR PROPERTY APPORTENANT TELEPHONE LINE, WIRE, CABLE, OR DON'N CONNECTION WITH A TELEPHONE LINE, WIRE, CABLE OR INSTRUMENT BELONGING TO D IN THE LAWPUL POSSESSION OR CONTROL OF JAYDAH OR TEGA; WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF JAYDAH OR TEGA WHO DWNED; POSSESSI OR COTTROLLED BUCH PROPERTY, OR READ; INTERRUPTED, TOOK OR COPIED ANY MESSAGE, COMMUNICATION OR REPORT INTENDED TO CONTROL OF DELAYED SUCH PROPERTY, OR READ; INTERRUPTED, TOOK OR COPIED ANY MESSAGE, COMMUNICATION OR REPORT INTENDED. TO BE JAYDAH OR TEGA, OY TELEPHONE WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF A SENDER OR INTENDED RECIPIENT THERED, OR PREVENTED, DESTRUCTED OR DELAYED THE SENDING, TRANSMITTING, CONVEYING OR DELIVERING IN THIS STATE OF ANY MESSAGE, COMMUNICATION OR REPORT BY OR THROUGH TELEGRAPH OR TELEPHONE, OR USED ANY APPRAATUS TO DO OR CAUSE TO BE DONE ANY OF THE ACTS HEREINBEFORE MENTIONED OR TO AD AD ARREW WITH, CONSPIRE WITH ANY PERSON TO DO OR PREVENTED, CAUSE TO BE DONE ANY OF THE ACTS HEREINBEFORE MENTIONED, A MISDEMELY OR CONSPIRE WITH ANY PERSON TO DO OR PREVENT CAUSE TO BE DONE ANY OF THE ACTS HEREINBEFORE MENTIONED, A MISDEMEANDR; CONTRARY TO NMEA 1978, SECTION 30-12-1 (197 COUNT WITHAL DEFENDENCE OF PROBABLE CAUSE DISTRUCTION OF REPORT OF PROBABLE CAUSE DISTRUCTION OF PROBABLE CAUSE DISTRUCTION OF PROBABLE CAUSE DISTRUCTION OF PROBABLE CAUSE DISSINGTOR TO DISORDE TO DISORDE TO THE COMFORT INN, LOCATED AT 4312 CERRILOS ROAD ROOM 118; IN REFERENCE TO DISORDERING TO DISORDE TO THE COMFORT INN, LOCATED AT 4312 CERRILOS ROAD ROOM 118; IN REFERENCE TO DISORDERING ONDUCT.	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL	RIMINAL COMPLAINT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT DID KNOWINGLY AND WITHOUT LAWFUL AUTHORITY DIBPLACE, REMOVE, INJURE OR DESTROY A THE LEAPHONE LINE, WIRE, CABLE, FOLE OR CONDUIT BELONGING TO JAVIAH ORTEGA; OR THE MATERIAL, OR PROPERTY APPORTEMANT THERETO, OR GUT, BREAK, TAP OR MAKE ANY CONNECTION WITH A TELEPHONE LINE, WIRE, CABLE OR INSTRUMENT BELONGING TO O IN THE LAWFUL POSSESSION OR CONTROL OF JAVIAH ORTEGA, WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF JAVIAH ORTEGA, WHO DWINED; POSSESSION OR GOATROLLED BUGH FROPERY, OR REDO'INTERUPTED, TOOK OR COPIED ANY MESSAGE, COMMUNICATION OR REPORT INTENDED FOR JAVDAH ORTEGA BY TELEPHONE WITHOUT THE GONSENT OF A SENDER OR INTENDED RECIPIENT THEREOF, OR PREVENTED, DISSTRUCTED OR DELAYED THE SENDING, TRANSMITTING, CONVEYING OR DELIVERING IN THIS STATE OF ANY MESSAGE, COMMUNICATION OR REFORT BY OR THROUGH TELEGRAPH OR TELEPHONE, OR USED ANY APPARATUS TO DO OR CAUSE TO BE DONE ANY OF THE ACTS HEREINBEFORE MENTIONED OR TO AID, AGREE WITH, COMPLY OR CONSPIRE WITH ANY PERSON TO DO OR PERMIT CAUSE TO BE DONE ANY OF THE ACTS HEREINBEFORE MENTIONED, A MISDEMEANDR; CONTRARY TO NMEA 1978, SECTION 30-12-1 (197 COUNT NI) FALSE MIPRISONMENT THE ABOVE-NAMED OFFENDANT DID, RESTRAIN-OR CONFINE JAVDAH ORTEGA AGAINST HER WILL AND WITH THE KNOWLEDGE THAT SH AND ND ALITHORITY TO DIS SO, A POURTH DEGREE FELONY, CONTRARY TO NMEA 1978, SECTION 30-12-1 (197 COUNT NI) FALSE MIPRISONMENT IN E ABOVE-NAMED OFFENDANT DID, RESTRAIN-OR CONFINE JAVDAH ORTEGA AGAINST HER WILL AND WITH THE KNOWLEDGE THAT SH AD ND ALITHORITY TO DID SO, A FOURTH DEGREE FELONY, CONTRARY TO NMEA 1978, SECTION 30-4-3 (1983). STATEMENT OF PROBABLE CÁUSE DN SUNDAY, MAY 16/2021, AT APPROXIMATELY 2239 HOURS,) REBFONDED TO THE COMFORT INN, LOCATED AT 4312 CERRILOS ROAD ROOM Y 18; IN REFERENCE TO DISCROBARLY CONDUCT.	C DUNT I: BATTERY I IE ABOYE:NAMED EMBER WHO IS A 1	DEFENDANT DID UNLAWFULL Y, INTENTIONALL SPOUSE, FORMER SPOUSE, CO-PARENT OF A C	CHILD OR A PERSON WITH WHOM THE DEFENDANT HAB HAD 'A
TELEPHONE LINE, WIRE, CABLE, POLE OR CONDUIT BELONGING TO JAVDAH ORTEGA, OR THE MATERIAL OR PROPERTY APPURTENANT THERETO, OR CUT, BREAK, TAP OR MAKE ANY CONNECTION WITH A TELEPHONE LINE, WIRE, CABLE OR INSTRUMENT BELONGING TO O IN THE LAWPUL POSSESSION OR CONTROL OF JAVDAH ORTEGA, WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF JAYDAH ORTEGA WHO DUWLED, POSSESSI OR CONTROLLED BUCH PROPERTY, OR READ, INTERPUPTED, TOCK OR COPIED ANY MESSAGE, COMMUNICATION OR REPORT INTENDED TOR JAYDAH ORTEGA BY TELEPHONE WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF A SENDER OR INTENDED RECIPIENT THEREOF, OR PREVENTED, DESTRUCTED OR DELAYED THE SENDING, TRANSMITTING, CONVEYING OR DELIVERING IN THIS STATE OF ANY MESSAGE, COMMUNICATION OR REPORT BY OR THROUGH TELEGRAPH OR TELEPHONE, OR USED ANY APPARATUS TO DO OR CAUSE TO BE DOME ANY OF THE ACTS HEREINBEFORE MENTIONED OR TO ALD, AGREE WITH DOMELY OR CONSPIRE WITH ANY PERSON TO DO OR PERMIT CAUSE TO BE DONE ANY OF THE ACTS HEREINBEFORE MENTIONED, A MISDEMEANDR, CONTRARY TO NABA 1978, SECTION 30-12-1 (197 CAUSE TO BE DONE ANY OF THE ACTS HEREINBEFORE MENTIONED, A MISDEMEANDR, CONTRARY TO NABA 1978, SECTION 30-12-1 (197 COUNT UNIT FALSE MARRIED OR FRANKIN OR GONFINE JAYDAH ORTEGA AGAINST HER WILL AND WITH THE KNOWLEDGE THAT SH JAD NO ALITHORITY TO DO SO, A FOURTH DEGREE FELDINY, CONTRARY TO NASA 1978, SECTION 30-4-3 (1983). STATEMENT OF PROBABLE CAUSE DN SUNDAY, MAY 16, 2021, AT APPROXIMATELY 2238 HOURS,) REBPONDED TO THE COMFORT INN, LOCATED AT 4312 CERRILLOS ROAD COOM 118; IN REFERENCE TO DISORDERLY CONDUCT.	C DUNT I: BATTERY I IE ABOYE:NAMED EMBER WHO IS A 1	DEFENDANT DID UNLAWFULL Y, INTENTIONALL SPOUSE, FORMER SPOUSE, CO-PARENT OF A C	CHILD OR A PERSON WITH WHOM THE DEFENDANT HAB HAD 'A
COUNT NI) FALSE MAPRISONMENT THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT DID REGTRAIN OR CONFINE JAYDAN ORTEGA AGAINST HER WILL AND WITH THE KNOWLEDGE THAT SH HAD ND ALITHORITY TO DO SO, A FOURTH DEGREE FELONY, CONTRARY TO NMSA 1978, SECTION 30-4-3 (1983). STATEMENT OF PROBABLE CAUSE ON SUNDAY, MAY 16: 2021, AT APPROXIMATELY 2228 HOURS;) REBRONDED TO THE COMFORT INN, LOCATED AT 4312 CERRILLOS RDAD ROOM 118; IN REFERENCE TO DISOROURLY CONDUCT.	G DUNT I: BATTERY I HE ABOYE NAMED EMBER WHO IS A DNTINUING PERSO DUNT II: 'INTERFER	DEFENDANT DID UNLAWFULL Y, INTENTIONALL SPOUSE, FORMER SPOUSE, CO-PARENT OF A C DNAL RELATIONSHIP, IN A RUDE, INSOLENT OR RENCE WITH COMMUNICATIONS	CHILD OR A PERSON WITH WHOM THE DEFENDANT HAB HAD & ANGRY MANNER, CONTRARY TO NIKEA 1878(SECTION 30-3-15 (2008).
THE ABOVE-NAMED CEFENDANT DID RESTRAIN OR CONFINE JAYDAN ORTEGA AGAINST HER WILL AND WITH THE KNOWLEDGE THAT SH HAD ND AUTHORITY TO DO SO, A POURTH DEGREE FELONY, CONTRARY TO NMSA 1978, SECTION 36-4-3 (1983). STATEMENT OF PROBABLE CAUSE ON SUNDAY, MAY 16: 2021, AT APPROXIMATELY 2228 HOURS,) REBPONDED TO THE COMFORT INN, LOCATED AT 4312 CERRILLOS ROAD ROOM 118, IN REFERENCE TO DISOROURLY CONDUCT. UPON ARRIVAL, I SPOKE WITH THE FRONT DESK CLERK (THE REPORTING PARTY), THE FRONT DESK CLERK ADVISED THERE WAS A	G DUNT I: BATTERY I HE ABOYE.NAMED EMBER WHO IS A J DNTINUING PERSO DUNT II: 'INTERFER DUNT II: 'INTERFER DUNT II: 'INTERFER DUNT II: 'INTERFER DUNT II: 'INTERFER DUNT II: 'INTERFER STALLED BI CONTROLLED BI R JAYDAH ORTEO BBTRUCTED OR DO DMMUNICATION OF MY OF THE AGTS I	DEFENDANT DID UNLAWFULLY, INTENTIONALL SPOUSE, FORMER SPOUSE, CO-PARENT OF A C INAL RELATIONSMIP, IN A RUDE, INSOLENT OR RENCE WITH COMMUNICATIONS DEFENDANT OID KNOWINGLY AND WITHOUT L VIRE, CABLE, POLE OR CONDUIT BELONGING TO BREAK TAP, OR MAKE ANY CONNECTION WITH SUBSSION OR CONTROL OF JAYDAM ORTEGA, TO SUBSSION OR CONTROL OF JAYDAM ORTEGA, TO SA BY TELEPHONE WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF ELAYED THE SENDING, TRANSMITTING, CONVET R REPORT BY OR MARGUNE DAT ON THE CONSENT OF ELAYED THE SENDING, TRANSMITTING, CONVET R REPORT BY OR THROUGH TELEORADOR OR TO A BY TELEPHONE WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF ELAYED THE SENDING, TRANSMITTING, CONVET INFREMEREORE MENTIONED OR TO AD, AGREE	CHILD OR A PERSON WITH WHOM THE DEFENDANT HAE HAD A ANGRY MANNER, CONTRARY TO NMEA 1979, SECTION 30-3-15 (2008). AWFUL AUTHORITY DISPLACE, REMOVE, INJURE OR DESTROY A O. JAYDAH ORTEGA; OR THE MATERIAL OR PROPERTY APPURTENANT 'A TELEPHONE LINE, WIRE, CABLE OR INSTRUMENT BELONG TO OR WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF JAYDAH ORTEGA WHO DWINED; POSSESSED K OR COPIED ANY MESSAGE, COMMUNICATION OR REPORT INTENDED 'A SENDER OR INTENDED RECIPIENT THEREOF, OR PREVENTED, YING OR DELIVERING IN THIS STATE OF ANY MESSAGE, ELEPHONE, OR USED ANY APPARATUS TO DO OR PERMIT OR WITH, COMPLY OR CONSPIRE WITH ANY PERSON TO DO OR PERMIT OR
on Sunday, May 16: 2021, AT Approximately 2229 Hours,) Responded to the comfort inn, located at 4312 Cerrillos Road Room 118; in Reference to disorderly conduct.	G DUNT I: BATTERY I HE ABOYE NAMED EMBER WHO IS A J DNTINUING PERSO DUNT II: 'INTERFER HE ABOYE NAMED LEPHONE LINE, W HERETO, OR GUT, I HE LAWPUL POS R JAYDAH ORTEG BATRUCTED OR DO DMMUNICATION OF IY OF THE AGTS H AUSE TO BE DONE	DEFENDANT DID UNLAWFULLY, INTENTIONALL SPOUSE, FORMER SPOUSE, CO-PARENT OF A C MAL RELATIONSHIP, IN A RUDE, INSOLENT OR RENCE WITH COMMUNICATIONS DEFENDANT OR KNOWINGLY AND WITHOUT L VIRE, CABLE, POLE OR CONDUIT BELONGING TO BREAK TAP OR MAKE ANY CONNECTION WITH SJESSION OR CONTROL OF JAYDAH ORTEGA, Y UCH PROPERTY, OR READ, INTERRUPTED, TOO SA BY TELEPHONE WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF ELAYED THE SENDING, TRANSMITTING, CONVE I REPORT BY OR THROUGH TELEGRAPH OR TE DEREMBEFORE MENTIONED OR TO AID, AGREE LANY OF THE ACTS HEREINBEFORE MENTIONE	CHILD OR A PERSON WITH WHOM THE DEFENDANT HAE HAD A ANGRY MANNER, CONTRARY TO NMEA 1979, SECTION 30-3-15 (2008). AWFUL AUTHORITY DISPLACE, REMOVE, INJURE OR DESTROY A O. JAYDAH ORTEGA; OR THE MATERIAL OR PROPERTY APPURTENANT 'A TELEPHONE LINE, WIRE, CABLE OR INSTRUMENT BELONG TO OR WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF JAYDAH ORTEGA WHO DWINED; POSSESSED K OR COPIED ANY MESSAGE, COMMUNICATION OR REPORT INTENDED 'A SENDER OR INTENDED RECIPIENT THEREOF, OR PREVENTED, YING OR DELIVERING IN THIS STATE OF ANY MESSAGE, ELEPHONE, OR USED ANY APPARATUS TO DO OR PERMIT OR WITH, COMPLY OR CONSPIRE WITH ANY PERSON TO DO OR PERMIT OR
ROOM 118; IN REFERENCE TO DISOROBRLY CONDUCT.	G DUNT I: BATTERY I HE ABOYE.NAMED EMBER WHO IS A J DNTINUING PERSO DUNT II: 'INTERFER LE ABOVE-NAMED LEPHONE LINE, W HERETO, OR COT, I THE LAWPUL-POS A CONTROLLED BL R JAYDAH OR TEC BETRUCTED OR DO DMMUNICATION OF IN' OF THE ACTS H AUSE TO BE DONE DUNT II): FALSE DA LE ABOVE-NAMED	DEFENDANT DID UNLAWFULLY, INTENTIONALL SPOUSE, FORMER SPOUSE, CO-PARENT OF A C MAL RELATIONSMIP, IN A RUDE, INSOLENT OR RENCE WITH COMMUNICATIONS DEFENDANT OID KNOWINGLY AND WITHOUT L VIRE, CABLE, POLE OR CONDUIT BELONGING TO BREAST TAP, OR MAKE ANY, CONNECTION WITH SEPSSION OR CONTROL OF JAYDAN ORTEGA; U UCH PROPERTY, OR READ, INTERRUPTED, TOO 3A BY TELEPHONE WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF ELAYED. THE SENDING, TRANSMITTING, CONVET BREASTORE MENTIONED OR TO AID, AGREE LEREINBEFORE MENTIONED OR TO AID, AGREE LANY OF THE ACTS HEREINBEFORE MENTIONE INFISONMENT OFFENDANT DID, RESTRAIN-OR CONFINE JAYD.	CHILD OR A PERSON WITH WHOM THE DEFENDANT HAE HAD A ANGRY MANNER, CONTRARY TO NMEA 1979, SECTION 30-3-15 (2008). AWFUL AUTHORITY DISPLACE, REMOVE, INJURE OR DESTROY A O. JAYDAH ORTEGA; OR THE MATERIAL OR PROPERTY APPURTENANT 'A TELEPHONE LINE, WIRE, CABLE OR INSTRUMENT BELONGING TO OR WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF JAYDAH ORTEGA WHO DWINED; POSSESSED K OR COPIED ANY MESSAGE, COMMUNICATION OR REPORT INTENDED 'A SENDER OR INTENDED RECIPIENT THEREOF, OR PREVENTED, YING OR DELIVERING IN THIS STATE OF ANY MESSAGE, ELEPHONE, OR USED ANY AFPARATUS TO DO OR PERMIT OR WITH, COMPLY OR CONSPIRE WITH ANY PERSON TO DO OR PERMIT OR DO RECIPIENT OR DO OR PERMIT OR CAUSE TO BE DONE (D, A MISDEMEANDR; CONTRARY TO NMEA 1975, SECTION 30-12-1 (1979).
MAN A WATCH & A MANY AND A VIE POOL ONLY OF THE WAS A PROVIDED AND THE POOL AND THE POLY OF THE POLY AND A POLY	G DUNT I: BATTERY I HE ABOYE.NAMED EMBER WHO IS A DUNT II: 'INTERFER DUNT II: 'INTERFER DUNT II: 'INTERFER EABOVE-NAMED LEPHONE LINE, M BERETO, OR CUT, I BERETO, OR CUT, I BERETO, OR CUT, DENEO, OR CUT, DENEO, OR CUT, DENEO, OR CUT, DENEO, OR CUT, DENEO, OR DE DUNT II): FALSE DO DUNT II): FALSE DO DUNT III: FALSE DO DUNT III: FALSE DO	DEFENDANT DID UNLAWFULLY, INTENTIONALL SPOUSE, FORMER SPOUSE, CO-PARENT OF A C NAL RELATIONSHIP, IN A RUDE, INSOLENT OR RENCE WITH COMMUNICATIONS DEFENDANT OID KNOWINGLY AND WITHOUT L VIRE, CABLE, POLE OR CONDUIT BELONGING TO BREAK TAP, OR MAKE ANY, CONNECTION WITH SEPSION OR CONTROL OF JAYDAN ORTEGA, YU UCH PROPERTY, OR READ, INTERRUPTED, TOO 3A BY TELEPHONE WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF ELAYED THE SENDING, TRANSMITTING, CONVER A REPORT BY OR THROUT THE CONSENT OF ELAYED THE SENDING, TRANSMITTING, CONVER A REPORT BY OR THROUT THE CONSENT OF ELAYED THE SENDING, TRANSMITTING, CONVER A REPORT BY OR THROUGH THE CONSENT OF ELAYED THE ACTS HEREINBEFORE MENTIONE INFISONMENT OFFENDANT DID, RESTRAIN OR CONFINE JAYD. TO DO SO, A POURTH DEGREE FELONY, CONT STATEMENT OF PROBABLE CAUSE	CHILD OR A PERSON WITH WHOM THE DEFENDANT HAE HAD A ANGRY MANNER, CONTRARY TO NMEA 1979, SECTION 30-3-15 (2008). AWFUL AUTHORITY DISPLACE, REMOVE, INJURE OR DESTROY A O JAYDAH ORTEGA; OR THE MATERIAL OR PROPERTY APPURTENANT 'A TELEPHONE LINE, WIRE, CABLE OR INSTRUMENT BELONGING TO OR WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF JAYDAH ORTEGA WHO DWINED; POSSESSED K OR COPIED ANY MESSAGE, COMMUNICATION OR REPORT INTENDED & SENDER OR INTENDED RECIPIENT THEREOF, OR PREVENTED, YING OR DELIVERING IN THIS STATE OP ANY MESSAGE, ELEPHONE, OR USED ANY APPARATUS TO UQ OR CAUSE TO BE DONE WITH, COMPLY OR CONSPIRE WITH ANY PERSON TO DO OR PERMIT OR ID, A MISDEMEANDR; CONTRARY TO NMEA 1978, SECTION 30-12-1 (1978).
GROUP OF FRIENDE THAT WERE ARGUIND IN THE KALLWAY AND GOING IN AND OUT OF ROOM 118.	G DUNT I: BATTERY I HE ABOYE.NAMED EMBER WHO IS A DUNT II: 'INTERFER DUNT II: 'INTERFER LEPHONE LINE, W BERETO, OR CUT, I BERETO, OR CUT, I BERETO, OR CUT, I BERETO, OR CUT, BERETO, OR CUT, I BERETO, OR CUT, BERETO, BE DONE DUNT III: FALSE DA DUNT III: FALSE DA DO NO AUTHORITY SUNDAY, MAY WE	DEFENDANT DID UNLAWFULLY, INTENTIONALL SPOUSE, FORMER SPOUSE, CO-PARENT OF A C NAL RELATIONSHIP, IN A RUDE, INSOLENT OR RENCE WITH COMMUNICATIONS DEFENDANT OID KNOWINGLY AND WITHOUT L URE, CABLE, POLE OR CONDUIT BELONGING TO BREAK TAP, OR MAKE ANY, CONNECTION WITH SUBSSION OR CONTROL OF JAYDAM ORTEGA, YU UCH PROPERTY, OR READ, INTERRUPTED, TOO 3A BY TELEPHONE WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF ELAYED. THE SENDING, TRANSMITTING, CONVER ENTEREMBERORE MENTIONED OR TO AID, AGREE I ANY OF THE ACTS HEREINBEFORE MENTIONE INFISONMENT OFFENDANT DID RESTRAIN OR CONFINE JAYD. TO DO SO, A POURTH DEGREE FELONY, CONT STATEMENT OF PROBABLE CAUSE 57ATEMENT OF PROBABLE CAUSE	CHILD OR A PERSON WITH WHOM THE DEFENDANT HAE HAD A ANGRY MANNER, CONTRARY TO NMEA 1979, SECTION 30-3-15 (2008). AWFUL AUTHORITY DISPLACE, REMOVE, INJURE OR DESTROY A O JAYDAH ORTEGA; OR THE MATERIAL OR PROPERTY APPURTENANT 'A TELEPHONE LINE, WIRE, CABLE OR INSTRUMENT BELONGING TO OR WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF JAYDAH ORTEGA WHO DWINED; POSSESSED K OR COPIED ANY MESSAGE, COMMUNICATION OR REPORT INTENDED & SENDER OR INTENDED RECIPIENT THEREOF, OR PREVENTED, YING OR DELIVERING IN THIS STATE OP ANY MESSAGE, ELEPHONE, OR USED ANY APPARATUS TO UQ OR CAUSE TO BE DONE WITH, COMPLY OR CONSPIRE WITH ANY PERSON TO DO OR PERMIT OR ID, A MISDEMEANDR; CONTRARY TO NMEA 1978, SECTION 30-12-1 (1978).

.

05/26/2021 06:12 FAX

,

🛿 003/005

. --.

i made contact with room 118 and spoke with MB. Jaydah Ortega. Jaydah:Advised me her boypriend who she identified as Anthony madrid was just at the location and before leaving they had gotten into a physical domestic dispute,

JAYDAH ADVISED NE SHE AND ANTHONY HAD GOT INTO A VERBAL ARGUMENT OVER ANTHONY TALKING TO OTHER WOMEN, JAYDAH STATED SHE ASKED ANTHONY TO LOOK AT HIS PHONE AND ANTHONY DENIED IT. JAYDAH STATED SHE LEFT THE ROOM AND WALKING TO THE PARKING LOT TO GET TO HER CAR, JAYDAH STATED ANTHONY FOLLOWED HER AND TOLD HER SHE COULD NOT LEAVE AND PLACED HIS HAND ON HER RIGHT ARM, FILLED HER FROM THE PARKING LOT BACK INTO THE HALLWAY OF THE HOTEL.

Jaydah Stated She and Anthony were in the hallway and he asked her to go back into the room which she did. Jaydah Stated once they were in the room she asked anthony again to look at his phone which he denied once again. Jaydah Stated she told anthony she was going to leave and anthony stated "You're not leaving". Jaydah stated she toud Anthony she was going to call her mother or the police, (Jaydah added: Anthony told her you can call police but he owns this town referring to the city of santa fe due to being an ex-officer).

JAYDAH STATED ANTHONY GRABBED HER PHONE FROM HER HANDS AND THROW IT ON THE BED TO PREVENT/HER FROM CALLING FOR MELP, JAYDAH STATED SHE WHILE SHE WAS IN THE ROOM SHE FELT AS IF SHE COULDN'T LEAVE IN FEAR ANTHONY WAS GOING TO CHASE AFTER HER, JAYDAH STATED A FIEND CAME TO THE ROOM AFTER A PERIOD OF TIME AND OPENED THE DOOR BOUGH WHERE SHE WAS ABLE TO BECAPE, JAYDAH STATED SHE WENT TO HER CAR BUT REALIZED SHE DID NOT HAVE HER KEYS NOR HER PHONE WHICH SHE DECIDED TO GO BACK TO THE ROOM TO GET.

JAYDAH STATED ONCE SHE WAS IN THE ROOM SHE REALIZED ANTHONY WASN'T THERE. JAYDAH STATED SHE CLOSED THE DOOR AND BEGAN LOOKING FOR HER PHONE WHICH WAS NOT IN THE ROOM. JAYDAH STATED SHE USED HER I WATCH TO LOCATE HER PHONE WHICH SHE STATED WAS NOT IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO SEND A RING NOTIFICATION TO LOCATE II. JAYDAH STATED AFTER A FEW MNUTES SHE RECEIVED A NOTFICATION THAT HER PHONE RECONNECTED TO HER WATCH. JAYDAH STATED SHE BENT A RING NOTIFICATION WHICH SHE STATED SHE HEARD IN THE HALLWAY. JAYDAH STATED GHE OFENED THE DOOR AND OBSERVED ANTHONY STANDING IN THE HALLWAY WITH HER PHONE IN HIS BACK POCKET.

JAYDAH STATED SHE GRABBED HER PHONE AND RAN BACK INTO THE ROOM LOCKING IT BEHIND HER. JAYDAH STATED ANTHONY STARTED BANGING ON THE DOOR. JAYDAH ADVISED AFTER SEVERAL MINUTES ANTHONY LEFT.

WHILE TALKING TO JAYDAN I OBSERVED SHE HAD A SMALL PENNY SIZE BRUISE ON HER RIGHT UPPER ARM BY HER TRICEP AREA, THE BRUISE WAS A LIGHT BLUE AND RED COLOR. I ASKED JAYDAH HOW SHE GOT THE INJURY AND SHE STATEO FROM BEING PULLED BY ANTHONY. ALSO WHILE TALKING TO JAYDAH SHE SHOWED ME ON HER PHONE ANTHONY WAS CALLING HER MULTIPLE TIMES. JAYDAH INFORMED HE SHE AND ANTHONY HAVE EACH OTHER LOCATIONS ON THEIR PHONE, WHICH SHE SHOWED ME ANTHONY WAS ON PRIMO COLORES STREET. JAYDAH ADVISED ME HER BEST FRIEND WHO SHE STATED WAS ASHLEY LIVED IN THAT AREA. JAYDAH PROVIDED ME AN ADDRESS OF 5953 COLOUR DE LILA STREET IN AN ATTEMPT TO LOCATE ANTONY, JAYDAH ADDED ANTHONY DRIVES A LIFTED GRAY DODGE RAM.

PHOTOS WERE TAKEN OF JAYDAH AND HER INJURIEB, WHO WAS ALSO PROVIDED A CASE CAND WITH DOMESTIC VIOLENCE INFORMATION.

While ORIVING TO THE AREA OFFICERS ORSERVED A GRAY LIFTED DODGE PULL INTO THE SPEEDWAY GAS STATION LOCATED AT 5741 ARPORT RD. WHILE DRIVING INTO THE GAS STATION I OBSERVE ANTHONY STANDING OUTSIDE HIS TRUCK. I MADE CONTACT WITH ANTHONY AND INFORMED HILL OF MY CONTACT WITH HM, ANTHONY ADVISED ME HE WAS AT COMFORT INN WITH JAYDAH BUT LEFT AFTER HAVING A VERBAL ARGUMENT, ANTHONY ADVISED ME NO PHYSICAL ALTERCATION TOOK PLACE, ANTHONY WAS ADVISED OF THE STATEMENT MADE BY JAYDAH AND THE INJURIES OBSERVED ON HER ARM.

AT THIS POINT, ANTHONY WAS PLACED IN HANDCUFFS WHICH WERE CHECK FOR PROPER SPACING AND DOUBLED LOCKED. ANTHONY WAS PLACED IN THE BACK SEAT OF MY NARKED PATROL CAR AND TRANSPORTED TO SANTA FE COUNTY ADULT DETENTION CENTER WHERE HE WAS DOOKED ACCORDINGLY AND HELD WITH NO BOND, ANTHONY ADVISED ME HE HAS NO DNE DEPENDENT ON HIS CARE AT THE TIME OF THIS ARREST.

ANTHONY'S VEHICLE WAS TOWED BY, CJ'S TOWING TO THEIR'LOT.

I SWEAR OR AFFIRM UNDER RENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FACTS SET FORTH ABOVE ARE TRUE TO THE . BEST OF MY INFORMATION AND BELIEF. LUNDERSTAND THAT IT IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE, SUBJECT TO THE PENALTY OF IMPRISONMENT TO MAKE FALSE STATEMENT IN A CRIMINAL COMPLAINT.

	Complainant	
	Norre.	LOPEZ: STEVEN
	Title(II any)	8102
	Agençy(il any)	SANTA PE POLICE DEPARTMENT
ht may not fix flied without the prior beyone of a filling fix		

This complaint authorized to serve an Arrest of Search Warrani. Approvel of the district attorney or a law enforcement officer is not otherwise required.

Approved

DISTRICT ATTORNEY OR LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER [As amended, approved by the Supreme Court of New Mexico, effective September 1, 1990; April 1, 1991; November 1, 1991.]

./...

,

If Probable Cause Determination required:

Probable Cause Found

[] Probable Cause Not Found, and Defendant Released from Cuslody

<	5
Judge	MAY 1 6 2021
Date	1828
Tinia	

[As amended; effective September 1, 1990; April 1, 1991; November 1, 1991; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 13-8000-020; effective for all deses pending or filed on or other December 34, 2013.]

.. ..

. .

.... .

Acadis New Mexico Law Enforcement Academy					R ed by Acadis® Readiness Suite 05/27/2021 For: Madrid, Anthony L Certification # 12-0294-P				
Madrid, Anthony L	Person Stat SS	us Active SN xxx-xx-175		09/11/1991 Male	EEOC	Hispanic			
Employment									
Status Organization		Title/Rank	Employ	ment Type	* Appointm	ent Type* D	ates		
Inactive Santa Fe Police De	epartment (Primary)	Patrol Officer					1/02/2018 - 2/19/2021		
Inactive Las Vegas Police I	Department	Officer				04 12	4/24/2012 - 2/27/2017		
Inactive San Miguel Count	y Sheriff's Office	Under Sheriff					0/27/2014 - 5/10/2016		
Certifications									
Name	Issu	ed	Expiration	S	Status				
Domestic Violence	11/2	4/2020	11/24/2024	I	Active (Active))			
General Police	11/2	4/2020	11/24/2024	1	Active (Active))			
Law Enforcement Officer	11/2	8/2012	Never Expires	ł	Active (Active))			
Training	ere ingere								
Upcoming, Ongoing, & Unconfirm	med						**************************************		
No upcoming & ongoing trainin	g data exists.								
Current Period to Date (01/01/20)21 - 05/27/2021)								
No current year training data exi									
Previous Period (01/01/2020 - 12/	/31/2020)								
No previous year training data e	-								
Other Periods (through 12/31/20									
Course/Title (Course No.)	Tri	aining Dates		Grade	Status	Training Category	Hours		
In-Service Training - Annual Fir Requalification Scores (Handgur Police Department - 2019	earms		2/31/2019	0.00%	Completed - 01/15/2019				
Domestic Violence Instructor (N	پر آرکی (M190264)	/08/2019 05	5/10/2019	N/A	Completed	Advanced Skills	24h 0m		
In-Service Training - Annual Fir Requalification Scores (Handgur Police Department - 2018		/01/2018 12	2/31/2018	0.00%	Completed - 12/31/2018	Required			
General Instructor Refresher (NI	M170266) 03/	/24/2017 03	9/24/2017	N/A	Completed		8h 0m		
2016-2017 Biennium Child Abu (NM16-65D)	se Training 03/	/07/2017 03	0/07/2017	N/A	Completed		2h 0m		
2016-2017 Biennium Hate Crim	es (NM16-65E) 03/	/07/2017 03	/07/2017	N/A	Completed		2h 0m		
2016-2017 Biennium Interaction w/Mental (NM16103E)	ı w/Persons 03/	/07/2017 03	0/07/2017	N/A	Completed		2h 0m		

03/07/2017

N/A

Completed

03/07/2017

2016-2017 Biennium Legal Update (NM16-21)

2h 0m

Acadis New Mexico Law Enforcement Ac			R Ed by Acadis® Readiness Suite For: Madrid, Anthony L Certification # 12-0294-P	05/27/2021
2016-2017 Domestic Violence Roll Call Video Trng. (NM16113A)	03/07/2017	03/07/2017	N/A Completed	2h 0m
2016-2017 Biennium Missing Persons/AMBER Alert (NM16181F)	03/06/2017	03/06/2017	N/A Completed	1h 0m
2016-2017 Biennium Safe Pursuit Training (NM16162)	03/06/2017	03/06/2017	N/A Completed	4h 0m
Sexual Assault Biological / DNA Evidence (NM16 -68D)	03/06/2017	03/06/2017	N/A Completed	1h 0m
SFST 4 hour Refresher (NM16-76)	03/06/2017	03/06/2017	N/A Completed	4h 0m
Use of Force (NM161259)	03/06/2017	03/06/2017	N/A Completed	1h 0m
Law Enforcment Coordinators Symposium 2016 (NM16191K)	05/12/2016	05/12/2016	N/A Completed	11h 0m
FETI & Neurobiology Trauma: Strategies for Invest. (NM16191F)	04/28/2016	04/28/2016	N/A Completed	13h 30m
Basic Media Relations / PIO Training (NM13183L)	02/24/2016	02/24/2016	N/A Completed	7h 0m
Instructor Development (Basic Instructor Training) (NM14181)	08/07/2015	08/07/2015	N/A Completed	40h 0m
Law Enforcement Coordinators Symposium (NM15191Q)	07/30/2015	07/30/2015	N/A Completed	10h 30m
2014-2015 Biennium Legal Update (NM14-21D)	03/20/2015	03/20/2015	N/A Completed	8h 0m
2012-2013 Biennium Semi-Auto Handgun Training (NM12151J)	03/19/2015	03/19/2015	N/A Completed	4h 0m
2014-2015 Biennium Domestic Violence Training (NM14113G)	03/19/2015	03/19/2015	N/A Completed	4h 0m
2012-2013 Biennium Missing Person/Amber Alert Trai (NM12181R)	03/18/2015	03/18/2015	N/A Completed	1h 0m
2014-2015 Biennium Hate Crimes Training (NM14-65I)	03/18/2015	03/18/2015	N/A Completed	2h 0m
2014-2015 Biennium ID of Minor Children Upon Paren (NM14-51E)	03/18/2015	03/18/2015	N/A Completed	1h 0m
SFST 4 Hour Refresher Training (NM14-76C)	03/18/2015	03/18/2015	N/A Completed	4h 0m
2014-2015 Biennium Child Abuse Training (NM14-65H)	03/17/2015	03/17/2015	N/A Completed	2h 0m
Crisis Response HB93 2012-2013 Biennium Officer (NM12103C)	03/17/2015	03/17/2015	N/A Completed	2h 0m
Enforcement of Off-Highway Motor Vehicle (NM12162)	03/17/2015	03/17/2015	N/A Completed	4h 0m
Field Training Officer (FTO) (NM14-51D)	06/25/2014	06/25/2014	N/A Completed	24h 0m
Bean Bag Training (MISC)	06/13/2014	06/13/2014	N/A Completed	6h 0m
The Advanced Course on The Reid Technique of Inter (NM11191J)	09/20/2013	09/20/2013	N/A Completed	6h 0m
The Reid Technique of Interviewing and Interrogati (NM11191H)	09/19/2013	09/19/2013	N/A Completed	24h 0m
DPS/Basic Law Enforcement Academy #184 (0001-12B)	11/28/2012	11/28/2012	N/A Completed	815h Om
-			Total Hours	(1042h 0m)

A grade of ## indicates that the weights for this class are not valid and grades cannot be calculated.



Training History F port

Acadis New Mexico Law Enforcement Academy 05/27/2021

For: Madrid, Anthony L Certification # 12-0294-P

Education

No education data exists.

Miscellaneous

Perm File Number

BEFORE THE LAW ENFORCEMENT ACADEMY BOARD FOR THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF:

Anthony Madrid, Certification No. 12-0294-P,

Respondent.

NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUSPEND LAW ENFORCEMENT CERTIFICATION

The New Mexico Law Enforcement Academy Board (hereinafter the "Board") has received notification that you have been arrested or indicted on a felony criminal charge. Pursuant to the Board's rules, this Notice of Intent to Suspend Law Enforcement Certification serves as notice to you that, unless you request to be heard on the matter within fifteen (15) days from today's date, your law enforcement certification shall be immediately suspended until such time as the Board's formal disciplinary investigation and proceeding in this matter has concluded.

Pursuant to 10.29.1.11(B) NMAC, you have the right to be heard on the matter of this intended immediate suspension at the next meeting of the Board. Although the procedures set forth in 10.29.1.12 NMAC, which govern the Board's formal disciplinary process, do not apply to this immediate suspension, you have the right to present any evidence, witnesses and argument to the Board as to why your law enforcement certification should not be immediately suspended. *See* 10.29.1.11(B)(1) NMAC. If you request to be heard as to the matter of this intended immediate suspension, the Board will deliberate and issue a decision on the immediate suspension at its next meeting.

If you do not request a hearing in response to this Notice, your law enforcement certification will be immediately and temporarily suspended, starting fifteen (15) days from today's date, only until the Board's formal disciplinary investigation and proceeding in this matter

has concluded. You will also, separate and apart from this immediate suspension, retain all of your rights in the Board's formal disciplinary process, see 10.29.1.12 NMAC, and a decision on your part not to contest this immediate suspension will not be held against you in connection with that formal disciplinary proceeding.

To request a hearing in this matter, you must mail, email, or fax a request for a hearing to the Board. A written request for a hearing should be sent to the following address:

> New Mexico Law Enforcement Academy Board ATTN: Misconduct Case Manager 4491 Cerrillos Road Santa Fe, NM 87507 505-827-3449 (fax)

27 may 2021

Kuy C. Myaharna Kelly Alzaharna Director, NMLEA

Date

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was mailed by certified mail to:

Anthony Madrid 2306 Anderson Dr. Las Vegas, NM 87701

Certified Mail No. 7020 2450 0001 4280 9668

Matthew L. Montaga -

<u>June 2, 2021</u> Date

Matthew L. Montoya NMLEA Misconduct Case Manager 4491 Cerrillos Road Santa Fe, NM 87507 matthew.montoya6@state.nm.us 505-827-3364